A distributed and efficient population code of mixed selectivity neurons for flexible navigation decisions

Shinichiro Kira¹, Houman Safaai^{1,2}, Ari S. Morcos¹, Stefano Panzeri^{2,3}, Christopher D. Harvey^{1,*}

¹Department of Neurobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA ²Neural Computation Laboratory, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Rovereto, Italy ³Department of Excellence for Neural Information Processing, Center for Molecular Neurobiology (ZMNH), University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf (UKE), Hamburg, Germany

*Correspondence: harvey@hms.harvard.edu

1 Abstract

Decision-making requires flexibility to rapidly switch sensorimotor associations depending on behavioral goals stored in memory. We identified cortical areas and neural activity patterns that mediate 3 this flexibility during virtual-navigation, where mice switched navigation toward or away from a visual 4 cue depending on its match to a remembered cue. An optogenetics screen identified V1, posterior 5 parietal cortex (PPC), and retrosplenial cortex (RSC) as necessary for accurate decisions. Calcium imaging revealed neurons that can mediate rapid sensorimotor switching by encoding a conjunction of a current and remembered visual cue that predicted the mouse's navigational choice from trial-to-trial. Their 8 activity formed efficient population codes before correct, but not incorrect, choices. These neurons were 9 distributed across posterior cortex, even V1, but were densest in RSC and sparsest in PPC. We propose the flexibility of navigation decisions arises from neurons that mix visual and memory information within a visual-parietal-retrosplenial network, centered in RSC. 12

Introduction

14

As animals navigate for survival, they combine sensory information with internally stored behavioral 16 goals to select a desirable route and rapidly switch routes as their behavioral goals change. Such navigation 17 arises from a rich repertoire of sensorimotor associations that has expanded through evolution¹. In 18 reflexive behaviors, a given sensory input always leads to a stereotyped action. Animals have acquired the ability to rapidly switch sensorimotor associations by responding to the same stimulus with distinct actions depending on their current behavioral goal. We refer to this ability as the flexibility of decision-making. In many laboratory decision-making paradigms, however, animals are trained to make one action in response to a given sensory cue and to make the opposite action in response to an alternate cue, which involves fixed sensorimotor associations but not flexible decisions. In contrast, in flexible decision-making, animals 24 switch their associations between a given sensory cue and a desirable action from moment to moment, such as responding to the same sensory cue with one action at one moment and with the opposite action at 26 the next moment as their behavioral goal changes. In general, this flexible action selection can be achieved 27

by combining external sensory information with internal information about one's behavioral goal, which often manifests as short-term memory. A critical feature of this flexibility is its rapidity to switch actions when behavioral goals or sensory cues change from one moment to the next. This rapidity sets flexible decision-making apart from the learning or re-learning of different sensorimotor associations over longer timescales. Together, these characteristics imply that specific neural mechanisms exist for rapid flexibility over times as short as seconds, which are too brief for substantial neural circuit plasticity. Here, we aimed to reveal the cortical areas and neural activity patterns that are central to flexible decisions during spatial navigation by understanding how behavioral goals stored in short-term memory influence navigational action selection in response to sensory cues.

The flexibility of decision-making has been investigated in experimental paradigms that do not involve 38 spatial navigation. Across studies using different tasks, diverse areas have been found to mix memory 39 and sensory information for flexible decisions, including higher sensory cortices, association cortices, 40 and premotor cortices²⁻¹¹. Other studies have assessed slow changes in sensorimotor associations over 41 many behavioral trials or sessions, which might rely on mechanisms distinct from those underlying rapid, 42 moment-to-moment flexibility¹²⁻¹⁵. Recently, several studies have identified cortical and subcortical areas 43 that have a causal role in flexible decisions^{3,4,11,14,15}. However, in many cases, a limitation has been that 44 studies have focused on one or two areas of interest without systematic screening across multiple areas to 45 compare their causal involvement and neural coding properties. Thus, these studies have not distinguished 46 whether flexible decisions involve different areas depending on the specific features of the task or are 47 mediated by a widely distributed network. Furthermore, it is unclear whether these areas are involved in 48 flexible decisions during navigation. 49

50

In contrast, many studies of navigation have focused on the encoding of current spatial variables, such as location and heading in place cells, grid cells, and head direction cells¹⁶. Beyond well-established spatial coding in hippocampus and entorhinal cortex, retrosplenial cortex (RSC) and posterior parietal cortex (PPC) represent heading direction, running velocity, and navigational routes with world-centered (allocentric) and self-centered (egocentric) reference frames¹⁷⁻³⁰. In addition, spatial signals have been found even in primary and secondary visual cortices^{31,32}. Typically, however, these studies of navigation have not investigated the mechanisms of decision-making in which animals must choose a navigational path among alternatives.

- Recent approaches have been developed to bridge navigation and decision-making. These approaches have revealed that sequences of neural activity in PPC correlate with upcoming choices³³ and short-term memories of previous cues, including during evidence accumulation³⁴⁻³⁷. Similar choice-related sequential activity is also observed in RSC^{37,38}. These approaches have used behavioral tasks with a fixed sensorimotor association needed for reward. For example, they employed a task in which cue A instructs turn left and cue B instructs turn right^{33-35,38-40}. Thus, these studies have not investigated the flexibility of decision-making during navigation in which animals switch sensorimotor associations depending on information stored in short-term memory.
- 68

Therefore, it remains unclear which areas may be most critical for the flexibility of decision-making during navigation. A leading candidate is PPC because of its established role in navigation decision tasks^{33-35,41}. Another candidate is RSC due to its function in spatial memory and coding of navigation and decision-related variables^{17,24,25,38,42-44}. Alternatively, flexible navigation decisions may arise from frontal regions of cortex that have been shown to be necessary for flexibility in tasks not involving navigation^{3,14}. In addition, at the level of neural computation, it is an open question how memory signals are incorporated into the circuits important for navigation to mediate rapid switches in sensorimotor associations.

76

Here, we studied the flexibility of decision-making during navigation by designing a delayed 77 match-to-sample task in virtual reality and systematically screening the contributions of a wide range of cortical areas using optogenetics and cellular-resolution calcium imaging. We demonstrate that neural 79 activity in posterior cortex is necessary for flexible navigation decisions, whereas frontal parts of cortex are 80 surprisingly less critical. We discovered neurons that mix short-term memory and visual information, and these neurons were present in most parts of posterior cortex, even in V1. Surprisingly, RSC had the highest 82 density of these neurons, whereas these cells were sparsest in PPC, with a near absence in anterior PPC. 83 These neurons formed an efficient population code, which appeared to be critical for accurate decisions 84 because their activity was more informative when the mouse made correct decisions compared to errors. 85 Our results suggest a mechanism contributing to flexible navigation decisions based on mixed visual and 86 memory representations within a distributed visual-parietal-retrosplenial network, centered in RSC. 87

88

90 Results

A task that requires combining short-term memory and sensory information to make flexible navigation decisions

We developed a delayed match-to-sample task for mice, based on navigation in a virtual reality T-maze (Fig. 1a). A black (B) or white (W) sample cue was presented on the walls at the start of the T-stem, followed by a delay segment in which the identity of the sample cue had to be stored in memory for a short period 95 $(1.21 \pm 0.65 \text{ s}, \text{mean} \pm \text{s.d.}, \text{n} = 17 \text{ mice})$. The delay segment duration was similar to or longer than delays 96 used in other delayed match-to-sample tasks, including for human and non-human primates^{5,6,8,45,46}. Next, 97 when the mouse reached a defined spatial position, a test cue appeared instantaneously in one of two 98 configurations: black walls on the left and white walls on the right (BW) or vice versa (WB). To receive a 99 reward, the mouse turned toward the T-arm with the wall color that matched the sample cue (Fig. 1b). The two sample cues and two test cues defined four trial types (Fig. 1a-b, the example maze shows a B/ WB trial). Importantly, in the test segment, the mouse combined its memory of the sample cue with the sensory information of the test cue to choose an appropriate action (left or right turn). This process involves flexible navigation decisions in the sense that the mouse made different choices (left or right turns) for the identical test cue depending on the short-term memory of the sample cue. This task is thus different from tasks with fixed sensorimotor associations in which mice select the same behavioral action 106 for a given sensory cue. Furthermore, the flexibility in this task was rapid and required mice to combine their short-term memory of the sample cue with the visual signals from the test cue differently from trial 108 to trial. The mice performed the task with high accuracy after 2–4 months of training (90.5 ± 5.5% correct, mean \pm s.d., n = 17 mice, Supplementary Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 | Optogenetics screen for cortical areas involved in a flexible navigation task

- (a) Schematic of experimental setup and delayed match-to-sample task.
- (b) Reward direction on each of the four trial types defined by a combination of the sample cue and test cue.
- (c) Heading angle (top) and lateral position (bottom) of a mouse in VR for four trial types shown as dashed lines and colored as in panel (b).
- (d) Lateral running velocity of a mouse on the treadmill in two example sessions for four trial types colored as in panel (b). Shading indicates mean ± s.d. for correct trials. Prior to the test cue onset, trials with the black or white sample cue are colored by dark red and light blue, respectively. Arrow in the bottom panel indicates a position in the delay segment where the velocity differed between trials with the black and white sample cue.
- (e) Logistic regression to explain the mouse's choice based on the sample cue identity vs. running patterns. Beta coefficients from a single session are shown as an open symbol, with different shapes indicating sessions from different mice. Filled symbols with error bars indicate mean ± s.e.m. for each mouse. n = 35 sessions from 4 mice. The data only include sessions in which calcium imaging data were acquired from posterior brain areas (V1, RSC, MM, A).
- (f) Schematic of optogenetic inhibition experiments. Bilateral light was delivered randomly to one of 28 pairs of target sites and interleaved with control trials (no laser).
- (g) Task performance with inhibition throughout the trial for each cortical location (bilateral inhibition). The location of inhibition sites are overlaid on the cortical areal map based on the Allen Mouse CCF. The average performance in control trials (93.5 \pm 2.8%, mean \pm s.d.) is indicated by an arrowhead on the color bar. n = 212 \pm 10 trials/site, 265 sessions, and 7 mice.
- (h) Change in task performance with inhibition in all segments (throughout the trial) relative to control trials. The change was computed from the data in panel (g) and averaged across sites in each brain area, as marked in panel (l). Error bars indicate mean \pm s.e.m. The performance significantly decreased with the inhibition in all areas (p < 10⁻⁴; bootstrap, compared to zero). The effect of inhibition in V1, RSC, and PPC were larger than those for M1/M2 or S1 (p < 10⁻⁴, bootstrap). The significance threshold was adjusted by Bonferroni correction with α = 0.05 to account for 5 area-wise comparisons for panels (h-k) and 10 between-area comparisons.
- (i) Similar to panels (g-h), except with inhibition during the sample segment. $n = 141 \pm 8$ trials/site, 253 sessions, and 4 mice in panels (i-k). The performance significantly decreased with the inhibition in V1 (p < 10⁻⁴), RSC (p < 10⁻⁴), PPC (p = 0.002), M1/M2 (p < 10⁻⁴), but not in S1 (p = 0.16) (bootstrap, compared to zero).
- (j) Similar to panels (g-h), except with inhibition during the delay segment. The performance significantly decreased with the inhibition in V1 ($p < 10^{-4}$), RSC (p = 0.0009), PPC ($p < 10^{-4}$), but not in M1/M2 (p = 0.25), and S1 (p = 0.026) (bootstrap, compared to zero).
- (k) Similar to panels (g-h), except with inhibition during the test segment. The performance significantly decreased with the inhibition in V1, RSC, PPC, and M1/M2 (p < 10⁻⁴), but not in S1: p = 0.083 (bootstrap, compared to zero).
- (I) Colored symbols indicate grouping of inhibition sites based on cortical areas.
- (m) Similar to panels (h-k), except with expanded inhibition of RSC by six sites marked as orange circles in panel (I) in all or specific segments. The performance significantly decreased with the inhibition in all segments ($p < 10^4$, n = 154 trials, 38 sessions, 4 mice), sample segment ($p < 10^4$, n = 148 trials, 37 sessions, 3 mice), delay segment ($p < 10^4$, n = 153 trials, 37 sessions, 3 mice), and test segment: ($p < 10^4$, n = 139 trials, 35 sessions, 3 mice) (bootstrap, compared to zero).

Along the T-stem during trials with the same cues, the mouse observed the identical visual scene on every trial. This was enforced by fixing the heading angle and lateral position of the mouse in the virtual T-stem (Fig. 1c). Thus, the mouse only moved forward and backward in the virtual maze and followed identical trajectories in the T-stem across trials. Specifically, throughout the T-stem of the maze, our virtual reality software did not translate the mouse's lateral running velocity on the treadmill into movement in the virtual maze, and only did so at the T-intersection and T-arms.

118

The running velocities of mice on the treadmill indicated their running patterns for right and left 119 choices diverged soon after the onset of the test cue (Supplementary Fig. 1i). Based on decoding of choice direction from these running patterns, we estimated that the relevant decision-making process that combined visual and memory signals to inform choices happened within the first one second of the test segment (Supplementary Fig. 1i-j). Also, it appeared that the mouse used short-term memory to remember the sample cue, instead of using only a behavioral mnemonic, for several reasons. First, the mouse was unable to use heading angle and lateral position to remember the sample cue because these parameters were fixed and identical across all trials during the delay segment, as mentioned above (Fig. 1c). Second, 126 although in some sessions mice had distinct running patterns on the treadmill for different sample cues during the delay segment (arrow in Fig. 1d bottom), these running patterns were variable across trials, and the mouse's choice on a trial was better explained by the presented sample cue than the running patterns during the delay (Fig. 1e). Third, the running patterns in the delay segment did not strongly correlate with 130 task performance in individual mice, and sample cue-related running in the delay segment was absent in some sessions with high performance (Supplementary Fig. 1k). Together, the running patterns suggest that the mice made decisions at the beginning of the test segment using both short-term memory of the sample cue and visual information of the test cue.

135

141

This task involves multiple neural processes, including for flexible decision-making, short-term memory, and sensory perception, and each part of the task is critical for accurate behavioral performance. We thus analyzed all parts of the task, but because our goal is to study flexible decision-making during navigation, we focused mostly on the test segment in which decision-making occurred as mice combined a memory of the sample cue with the sensory information of the test cue.

An optogenetics screen for cortical areas involved in a flexible navigation decision task

We screened for cortical locations with activity necessary for successful performance of the task. In VGAT-ChR2 mice, blue laser light was delivered transcranially to activate inhibitory interneurons and silence neighboring excitatory neurons (up to ~1 mm radius with 2-5 mW per site, Supplementary Fig. 2a-i)^{47,48}. On 145 a given trial, bilateral inhibition sites were chosen randomly from a grid of locations with 1 mm spacing. 146 Inhibition trials were interleaved with control trials (Fig. 1f). With inhibition in all segments throughout 147 a trial, the strongest impairment of task performance occurred when the inhibition site was chosen in 148 primary and secondary visual areas, PPC, and RSC (Fig. 1g-h). In contrast, the effects on task performance 149 were markedly smaller when the inhibition site was chosen in dorsal-anterior cortex, including motor and premotor areas, and somatosensory areas (Fig. 1g-h). Statistics and p-values for these and subsequent results are reported in the figure legends. Our inhibition results indicate that the posterior parts of cortex are prominently involved in performing the task, compared to the anterior parts of the dorsal cortex.

To understand the aspects of the task that required each area's activity, we restricted inhibition to either the sample segment, delay segment, or test segment on each trial. For V1, RSC, and PPC, the largest effects on performance occurred with inhibition during the test segment (Fig. 1i-k, p < 0.01, bootstrap test). In contrast, modest effects on performance occurred with inhibition of these areas during the sample and delay segments. Because none of the silenced areas appeared especially critical during the delay segment, the short-term memory might be maintained in a distributed cortical network, in uninhibited parts of the brain, including ventral cortex or subcortical areas, or in a format other than neural spiking⁴⁹⁻⁵¹. Instead, the prominent involvement of these areas in the test segment suggests they are candidates for combining memory and visual signals to inform choice directions during flexible navigation decisions.

Because our approach inhibited only relatively small cortical volumes, the effects may have been less pronounced in large areas, such as RSC^{52,53}. We therefore expanded our inhibition in RSC to three bilateral pairs of inhibition sites (orange circles in Fig. 11). This expanded inhibition decreased the mouse's performance to near chance levels when RSC was inhibited throughout the trial (55.8 \pm 4.0% correct; mean \pm s.e.m.) and resulted in a more substantial decrease in performance compared to the smaller inhibition sites (Fig. 1m). While the expanded RSC inhibition had the largest effect in the test segment, performance was also substantially decreased by inhibition in the sample segment, implying a possible role of RSC in encoding the sample cue identity on each trial. These pronounced inhibition effects with the expanded RSC inhibition suggest that RSC is essential for accurate performance in the flexible navigation decision task.

175

164

The inhibition of cortical sites did not induce apparent motor deficits in mice. Compared to control trials, the average running speed of mice varied only modestly across inhibition conditions $(3.1 \pm 11.0\%)$ increase; mean \pm s.d.; Supplementary Fig. 2j-o). Therefore, the decrease in performance is unlikely the result of running difficulty or memory loss due to a prolonged delay with reduced running speed. Together, our results reveal that a visual-parietal-retrosplenial network is critical for the task and highlight V1, PPC, and RSC as candidates for mixing memory and visual information for flexible decisions.

182 183

Neural activity represents essential task variables in a visual-parietal-retrosplenial network

To understand the functional roles of these areas in the task, we used two-photon calcium imaging to monitor layer 2/3 neurons in V1, RSC (dysgranular region), and PPC (Fig. 2a). We divided PPC into a medial region (area MM: mediomedial) and an anterior region (area A: anterior) because our previous work and anatomical studies suggest divisions may exist in this part of posterior cortex⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷. Each imaged area had activity throughout the full trial with transient peaks associated with the onset of the sample cue and test cue, except for area A, which had a peak as the mouse turned into a T-arm (Fig. 2c). Individual neurons in each area had transient activity, and different cells were active at different time points, forming a sequence of activity that spanned the full trial (Fig. 2d). Many cells therefore contained activity selective for particular maze positions, and thus at any time point, only a small fraction of the population was active.

To gain initial insights into how cells could contribute to flexible decision-making, we looked for neural activity that nonlinearly combines the memory of the sample cue and the visual information of the test cue. This nonlinear combination indicates the reward direction on a given trial and can be interpreted as the logical exclusive OR (XOR) operation on the sample cue and test cue identities (Fig. 2b, top). The XOR takes on two values: one for the trial types B/BW and W/WB for which the reward is on the left, and a second value for the trial types B/WB and W/BW for which the reward is on the right. The reward direction and mouse's choice were identical on correct trials and opposite on errors trials (Fig. 2b).

201

We performed an initial inspection of the activity in individual cells using deconvolved calcium fluorescence timeseries and found cells that appeared to encode key task variables. Some cells were active on the two trial types with the same sample cue (Fig. 2e), and others were active on the two trial types with the same test cue (Fig. 2f), suggesting selectivity for the sample cue and test cue, respectively. However, these cells did not directly represent the XOR of the two cues to inform the reward direction. Other cells appeared to be choice selective with activity only when the mouse turned to a specific direction (left or right) regardless of the trial type (Fig. 2g). Notably, the choice selective cells tended to appear in the later part of the test segment (see the next section for analyses), whereas the mouse's choice-dependent running appeared at the initial part of the test segment (Fig. 1d, Supplementary Fig. 1i), implying that these cells did not causally guide the choices.

212

225

Surprisingly, we also found cells that were active mostly on only one of the four trial types defined by a specific combination of a sample cue and a test cue. This type of activity is illustrated by an example neuron in Figure 2h that was active mostly on B/WB trials. Neurons of this type have selectivity for the sample cue 215 (B vs. W trials), test cue (BW vs. WB trials), and reward direction (XOR; B/BW and W/WB trials vs. B/WB 216 and W/BW trials). Such activity is an effective way to encode many task variables in single neurons and 217 leads to separate representations of all four trial types across the population of cells. Importantly, these cells became active for a single trial type in the initial part of the test segment, which was early enough to influence upcoming choices. Interestingly, some single-trial-type selective cells responded differently on correct and error trials. Some cells were less active on error trials (Fig. 2i), and others were active for 221 a different trial type on error trials (Fig. 2j), suggesting that the activity of these cells may be crucial for making accurate choices. Cells with each type of selectivity were found in multiple cortical areas, as will be shown in the next section.

We evaluated the selectivity of individual cells in a more systematic manner using a generalized linear model (GLM)^{35,58}. The GLM included each task variable (sample cue, test cue, choice) and their interactions 227 (including interactions between sample cue and test cue to allow for XOR selectivity) as predictors of a 228 neuron's activity (Fig. 2k, Methods). Because cells tended to be transiently active, we modeled each predictor as having selectivity conjunctive with maze position. Such position-specific selectivity is consistent with 230 cortical activity useful for navigation^{19,22,24,33}. In addition, because movements can substantially correlate 231 with neural activity in posterior cortex^{18,54,59,60}, the GLM also included predictors for the mouse's running 232 velocity, measured as rotations of the treadmill around three axes. We quantified the model's explanatory 233 power as the fraction of deviance explained (FDE), computed on test data left out from fitting (Fig. 2I-m, Supplementary Fig. 3). 235





- (a) Imaged cortical locations shown as individual fields-of-view. Bottom: same except superimposed on the field sign map for retinotopy. The circular outline shows the typical location of the cranial window.
- (b) Reward direction on the four trial types determined by XOR combination of the sample cue and test cue (top). Choices follows XOR on correct trials (middle) or its opposite on error trials (bottom).
- (c) Average activity across neurons, aligned to the start and end of the sample segment, start and end of the delay segment, start of the test segment, and T-intersection (vertical dashed line). V1: n = 2084 cells, RSC: n = 4103 cells, MM: n = 2439 cells, A: n = 1120 cells. Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m.

- (d) Average activity normalized to its peak for each neuron (rows) sorted by time of peak average activity. The sequence of activity was cross-validated by plotting activity on even-numbered trials sorted by peak time on odd-numbered trials.
- (e) Example cell with sample cue selectivity in MM. Top row, correct trials; bottom row, error trials. Rasters of deconvolved and binarized calcium activity are shown for individual trials along with average activity (smoothed by running mean of 350 ms). Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m. Colors are the same as in panel (b). For error trials, the average activity is not shown for some trial types if they had less than three error trials per trial type.
- (f) Similar to panel (e), an example cell in V1 with test cue selectivity.
- (g) Similar to panel (e), an example cell in MM with choice selectivity.
- (h) Similar to panel (e), an example cell in V1 with single-trial-type selectivity.
- (i) Similar to panel (e), an example cell in RSC with single-trial-type selectivity.
- (j) Similar to panel (e), an example cell in V1 with single-trial-type selectivity.
- (k) Schematic of the GLM fitted to the deconvolved and binarized calcium activity of each neuron. Predictors were divided into groups for task variables and movement. Task variables were basis expanded with position along the maze to reflect the sequential activity observed in panel (d). See Methods for full details.
- (I) GLM fit quality, measured as the fraction of deviance explained (FDE) on test data. Cells with converging fits for the full GLM were included. Mean \pm s.e.m. of FDE across cells in each area is V1: 0.212 \pm 0.005 (n = 1744 cells; 84% of detected cells), RSC: 0.155 \pm 0.002 (n = 3865 cells; 94%), MM: 0.139 \pm 0.003 (n = 2310 cells; 95%), A: 0.081 \pm 0.004 (n = 1106 cells; 99%), M1: 0.045 \pm 0.003 (n = 883 cells; 100%), M2: 0.027 \pm 0.001 (n = 3243 cells; 100%). The mean FDE was significantly different across areas (p < 10⁻⁴).
- (m) Comparison of model fits for the full model (task and movement variables) and the movement-only model (no task variables). Dots indicate individual cells that had converging fits for both models. Black traces show the running mean across cells (window size, 50 cells). Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m. V1: n = 1744 cells (84% of detected cells), RSC: n = 3865 cells (94%), MM: n = 2310 cells (95%), A: n = 1081 cells (97%).

236

Cells in V1 were best explained by the GLM across the imaged areas, followed by cells in RSC and MM (Fig. 2l). The large majority of cells in these areas were better explained by the full GLM that included task variables and running variables than by a reduced GLM that only included running variables (Fig. 2m). The task variables thus contributed significantly to neural activity in V1, RSC, and MM. In contrast, most cells in area A were well explained by the GLM with running variables alone, indicating that A had activity predominantly related to the movements of the mouse. We also imaged cells in M1 and M2, even though these areas did not appear to be strongly involved in task performance based on the optogenetics screen (Fig. 1g-k). The GLM poorly predicted neural activity in M1 and M2 (Fig. 2l) although the mice showed similar performance during imaging sessions for each area (Supplementary Fig. 4a-b), suggesting these areas may be less informative for solving the task compared to posterior cortical areas.

We evaluated the information in each neuron about each task variable by using the GLM framework to 248 compute the likelihoods of neural activity conditioned on task and movement variables³⁵ (Methods). At each time point in each trial, we estimated how likely one identity of a given variable was relative to the other (e.g., black vs. white sample cue) by computing a log likelihood ratio (logLR), a well-established measure of single-trial neural information^{61,62}. Although logLR is a signed value that indicates the preferred identity of 252 the variable, we adjusted the sign so that logLR was positive (or negative) for neural activity representing 253 the correct (or incorrect) identity of the task variable in a trial. The magnitude of logLR was larger for more 254 informative neural representations of the task variable. We used logLR to quantify information about task variables in the subsequent analyses. Potential confounds concerning locomotion-related neural activity 256 were removed by using the GLM that included all task and movement variables in a single model and by conditioning on the movement variables when computing information metrics, which together helped isolate the neural coding for a task variable of interest.

261 Widespread but distinct encoding distributions across cortical areas

We first tried to identify an area critical for mixing sample cue and test cue information by looking at major encoding patterns in individual cells. We therefore focused on the early part of the test segment and analyzed the encoding of the sample cue, test cue, and the mixture of the two that indicates the reward direction (XOR) for solving the task. At first, we used only correct trials to avoid analyzing complex modulations between correct and error trials (e.g., Fig. 2i-j). We note that XOR information and choice information are identical when considering only correct trials because the reward direction and the mouse's choice perfectly match on correct trials.

269

In V1, the dominant information at any time point was related to the cue that was currently visible. 270 V1 had high sample cue information in the sample segment that decayed during the delay segment and high test cue information in the test segment (Fig. 3a,d,e). Despite the predominance of information about the current visual cue, V1 also contained substantial XOR information about the reward direction (Fig. 273 3b,c,f). To understand the mixing of sample cue information and test cue information in single cells in the 274 decision-making period (i.e., the beginning of the test segment; gray shading in Fig. 3a-c), for each cell, we 275 plotted its sample cue information in the test segment versus its test cue information in the test segment 276 (Fig. 3g). We used the polar angle as a measure for how much a cell encoded one cue relative to the other. Cells residing close to 0° (horizontal-axis) or 90° (vertical-axis) corresponded to those encoding mostly sample cue or test cue information, respectively. In contrast, cells located around the diagonal (45°) had 279 mixed representations of both cues. In the test segment, many V1 neurons had high information about 280 the test cue and much less information about the sample cue (Fig. 3g-i). V1 thus prominently represented the current visual stimulus and had a skewed distribution of information that strongly favored the test cue in the test segment.

285 The distribution of information in RSC was strikingly different. RSC had approximately equal levels of sample cue and test cue information in the test segment, and thus its activity was less dominated by the current visual cue (Fig. 3a,d,e). Importantly, RSC had significantly larger XOR information than V1 287 (Fig. 3b,c,f). This XOR information rose from the onset of the test segment and peaked as mice began to report their choice in the form of a turn direction (Fig. 3b-c, Supplementary Fig. 1i-j). XOR information thus 289 appeared early enough to influence the decision-making process. The most striking feature of RSC activity 290 was the extent to which sample cue information and test cue information were mixed at the level of single cells (Fig. 3g-h). Many cells had approximately equal sample cue information and test cue information in the 292 test segment (Fig. 3g-h). The distribution of information in RSC cells in the test segment was approximately uniform between sample cue selective, mixed selective, and test cue selective cells, which was markedly 294 different from the distribution in V1 (Fig. 3i). As a result, RSC contained a larger fraction of cells that 295 equally mixed the memory information of the sample cue and visual information of the test cue than V1. Interestingly, RSC's sample cue information was maintained by the sequential activation of cells during the 297 delay segment until it was mixed with the test cue information (Fig. 3a, Supplementary Fig. 4c-e). Together, the results show that RSC has approximately equal mixing of information, the largest fraction of cells with mixed information, and the highest XOR information, indicating that RSC could be a central area for mixing memory information and visual information. 301



Fig. 3 | Task-related information and its mixing in posterior cortical areas.

- (a) Sample cue and test cue information quantified as logLR in individual cells, averaged across correct trials and then across cells with a converging fit for the full GLM. Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m. Gray regions indicate the period (first one second) analyzed for the test segment in panels (d-l). V1: n = 1962 cells (94% of detected cells), RSC: n = 4052 cells (99%), MM: n = 2409 cells (99%), A: n = 1096 cells (98%).
- (b) Similar to panel (a), except for XOR information.
- (c) Zoomed view of XOR information from panel (b) for the first one second of the test segment.

- (d) Average sample cue information per cell in the sample, delay, and test segments. The information was averaged over the last 1 s for the sample segment, last 0.35 s for the delay segment, and first 1 s for the test segment. Error bars indicate mean \pm s.e.m. The information was significantly different between areas (p < 10⁻⁴), except for between RSC and MM in the sample segment (p = 0.11) and between V1 and RSC in the delay (p = 0.31) and test segments (p = 0.03). All p values were calculated by bootstrap. The significance threshold was adjusted by Bonferroni correction with α = 0.05 to account for 6 between-area comparisons for panels (d-f).
- (e) Similar to panel (d) except for test cue information in the test segment. The information was significantly different between areas ($p \le 0.0080$).
- (f) Similar to panel (d) except for XOR information in the test segment. The information was significantly different between areas $(p \le 0.0074)$.
- (g) For each cell (circles), the sample cue information in the test segment and the test cue information in the test segment on correct trials.
- (h) Data from panel (g) replotted in polar coordinates as the magnitude (r) and angle (θ). Cells closer to 0 degrees have more sample cue information, and cells closer to 90 degrees have more test cue information. Skewness of the distribution (mean ± s.e.m.); V1: -1.20 ± 0.10, RSC: -0.06 ± 0.05, MM: -0.67 ± 0.11. Skewness was computed without cells with extreme angles (the highest and lowest 1% of cells) or noise-level information (magnitude r < 0.01). The distribution was significantly skewed for V1 and MM (p < 10⁻⁴), but not for RSC (p = 0.88). The skewness was significantly different between V1, RSC, and MM (p < 0.01). All p values were calculated by bootstrap.
- (i) Distribution of cells from panel (h) in discrete angle bins. Bins for $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ included cells on the axes and those with chance-level deviation from the axes (Methods). Error bars indicate s.e.m. The fraction of cells with equal mixing ($30 \le \theta < 60$) was significantly greater in RSC than in V1 or MM (p < 10^{-4}). The fractions of cells with noise-level information (magnitude r < 0.01) are not shown.
- (j) XOR information for cells across angles (running average, window of 50 cells). Cells from V1, RSC, and MM were combined because of their similarities (Supplementary Fig. 4f). Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m. Cells with noise-level information (magnitude r < 0.01) were excluded. V1: n = 510 cells, RSC: n = 1114 cells, MM: n = 423 cells were included in panels (j-l).</p>
- (k) Sparsity index for cells across angles (running average, window of 50 cells). See panel (m) for schematic of sparsity index. Cells from V1, RSC, and MM were combined because of their similarities (Supplementary Fig. 4g). Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m. Blue lines show chance sparsity index value computed with shuffled trial identities.
- (I) Similar to panel (k), except for the nonlinearity index. Cells from V1, RSC, and MM were combined because of their similarities (Supplementary Fig. 4h).
- (m) Sparsity index and nonlinearity index in schematized activity distributions across the four trial types. The schematized examples range from non-selective (top) to single-trial-type selective (bottom). The activities across trial types were normalized to add up to 4. Sparsity index was defined as the max normalized activity (highest bar). Nonlinearity index was the activity difference between trial types with different test cues ($\Delta 1$ and $\Delta 2$) and followed by the absolute value of their difference ($|\Delta 1-\Delta 2|$).

The information profile in MM was intermediate between V1 and RSC. MM also contained information about the sample cue, test cue, and XOR (Fig. 3a-f), with a profile of information in the test segment biased toward the test cue (Fig. 3i). This intermediate profile is consistent with MM residing at the interface of two spatial encoding gradients centered at V1 and RSC⁵⁴. In contrast to the other areas, area A lacked information about the sample cue and test cue throughout the trial and had XOR information only when the mouse started turning at the T-intersection (Fig. 3a-f). The lack of prominent encoding of task variables in area A was consistent with its activity being mostly explained by the locomotion of the mouse (Fig. 2m). When considering MM and A together, PPC surprisingly had the smallest fraction of cells with mixed information, and thus, at least in its anterior portion, PPC may not be a key area for mixing memory and visual information.

313

Notably, the cells with mixed sample cue information and test cue information contained XOR information about the reward direction (Fig. 3j, Supplementary Fig. 4f). These mixed selectivity cells tended to be the cells active preferentially on single trial types. We quantified this observation using a sparsity index ranging from 1 (equal activity across all trial types) to 4 (activity exclusively on a single trial type) and found that mixed selectivity cells had a sparsity index around 3 on average (Fig. 3k,m, Supplementary

Fig. 4g). Therefore, the cells with mixed representations tended to mix a specific combination of a sample cue and a test cue, resulting in activity that was present mostly on single trial types. Furthermore, we quantified the degree of nonlinearity in the neural responses mixing the sample cue and test cue using a nonlinearity index (Fig. 3I-m, Supplementary Fig. 4h, Methods), ranging from 0 (linear mixing) to 4 (most nonlinear mixing). Mixed selectivity cells showed nonlinear mixing as indicated by an average index above 2. This nonlinear mixing can be advantageous for linear decoding by a downstream area^{63,64}.

325

Surprisingly, we observed very few cells that encoded only the reward direction (or choice direction). On correct trials, these cells would be active on two of the four trial types and have XOR information but not sample cue or test cue information. When considering all the cells with appreciable XOR information (logLR > 0.01) in the early part of the test segment, approximately 90% of these cells also had sample cue and/or test cue information (Supplementary Fig. 4i-j). Furthermore, of all the cells with XOR information, roughly two-thirds contained sample cue information prior to the test cue onset (Supplementary Fig. 4k-l). Therefore, the dominant carriers of XOR information for the reward direction were the cells that encoded multiple task variables in the form of single-trial-type selectivity.

Together, these results reveal widespread representations in posterior cortex, with a bias toward visual encoding in V1, locomotion-related activity in A, and mixing of memory and visual information in RSC. Further, they indicate that multiple areas of posterior cortex, including V1 and most prominently RSC, appear to be strong candidates for mixing memory with visual signals to guide choices. This mixing manifested as single-trial-type selectivity, which appears to be an effective way to encode many relevant task variables in single neurons.

342 Mixed representations predict choices in RSC, MM, and V1

If the mixed representations of sample cue information and test cue information are important for task performance, then we expect the XOR information to influence the mouse's choice. We therefore compared trials of the same trial type, except with the opposite choice (e.g., turning left vs. right on B/ BW trials). That is, we compared correct and error trials. For trials with identical sensory cues, if cells have higher information about the reward direction (XOR) on correct trials than on error trials, then such an observation would support the notion that the information in those cells was used to guide accurate choices^{65,66}.

Strikingly, XOR information in RSC was markedly different between correct and error trials (Fig. 4b-c, f-g). XOR information in RSC was 86% lower on error trials than on correct trials and was thus nearly absent when mice made errors (Fig. 4f). Importantly, mice appeared engaged in error trials because error trials usere interspersed with correct trials and were completed by mice with similar timing compared to correct trials (Supplementary Fig. 1a-f). These findings suggest that the absence of XOR information in RSC on a given trial could have caused uncertainty and led to an inaccurate choice. A similar effect was also present in MM and V1, suggesting that their XOR information could also be behaviorally relevant, but at a lesser magnitude than in RSC (Fig. 4g). These results therefore suggest XOR information in RSC, MM, and V1 was used to guide the mouse's choice.



Fig. 4 | Comparing correct and error trials to identify activity patterns important for accurate decision-making

- (a) Sample cue and test cue information quantified as logLR in individual cells, averaged across trials and then across cells with converging fits for the full GLM for correct (solid) and error (dashed) trials. Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m. Gray regions indicate the period (first one second) analyzed for the test segment in panels (d-k). V1: n = 1744 cells (84% of detected cells), RSC: n = 3865 cells (90%), MM: n = 2310 cells (95%), A: n = 1105 cells (99%).
- (b) Similar to panel (a), except for XOR information.
- (c) Zoomed view of XOR information from panel (b) for the first one second of the test segment.

- (d) Average sample cue information per cell in the sample, delay, and test segments for correct and error trials (specifically in the gray regions indicated in panels (b-c)). Error bars indicate mean \pm s.e.m. The difference between correct and error trials in the sample segment was significant in RSC (p = 0.004), but not for V1 (p = 0.55), MM (p = 0.03), and A (p = 0.03). The difference in the delay segment was significant for RSC and MM (p < 10⁻⁴), but not for V1 (p = 0.47) and A (p = 0.03). The difference in the test segment was significant for V1, RSC, and MM (p < 10⁻⁴), but not for A (p = 0.04). All p values were calculated by bootstrap for panels (d-g). The significance threshold was adjusted by Bonferroni correction with α = 0.05 to account for 4 area-wise comparisons for panels (d-h), and 6 between-area comparisons for panel (g).
- (e) Similar to panel (d) except for test cue information in the test segment. The difference was significant in RSC ($p < 10^{-4}$), but not for V1 (p = 0.50), MM (p = 0.10), and A (p = 0.08).
- (f) Similar to panel (d) except for XOR information in the test segment. The difference was significant in V1, RSC, and MM $(p < 10^{-4})$, but not for A (p = 0.08).
- (g) Difference in XOR information between correct and error trials in the test segment, calculated per cell and averaged across cells. Error bars indicate mean \pm s.e.m. The decrease was significantly different from zero for V1, RSC, and MM (p < 10⁻⁴), but not for A (p = 0.08). The amount of decrease was significantly different between areas (p < 10⁻⁴), except for between V1 and MM (p = 0.98).
- (h) For each cell (circles), the sample cue information in the test segment and the test cue information in the test segment on correct trials (top/black) and error trials (bottom/magenta). Cells plotted in the top right quadrant correctly encoded the identity of the cues, and those plotted in other quadrants incorrectly encoded the identity of the sample cue, test cue, or both (gray shading).
- (i) Distribution of cells from panel (h) in discrete polar angle bins for correct (black) and error (magenta) trials. Bins for $\theta < 0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ} < \theta$ show the fraction of cells that incorrectly encoded the cue identity (gray shading). The fractions of cells with noise-level information (magnitude r < 0.01) are not shown. The fraction of cells was significantly different between correct and error trials in the following bins; V1: $\theta < 0^{\circ}$, $60^{\circ} \le \theta < 90^{\circ}$, $90^{\circ} < \theta$; RSC: all bins except for $\theta = 90^{\circ}$; MM: $\theta < 0^{\circ}$, $30^{\circ} \le \theta < 60^{\circ}$, $60^{\circ} \le \theta < 90^{\circ}$, $90^{\circ} < \theta$ (p < 0.002). The significance threshold was adjusted by Bonferroni correction with $\alpha = 0.05$ to account for 7 bin-wise comparisons. Error bars indicate s.e.m and are smaller than the data marker for some bins.
- (j) XOR information for cells across angles (running average, window of 50 cells) for correct (black) and error (magenta) trials. The angle was defined on correct trials in panel (h). Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m. Cells with noise-level information (magnitude r < 0.01) were excluded. V1: n = 487 / 475 cells, RSC: n = 1055 / 1035 cells, MM: n = 418 / 409 cells were included for the analysis of correct / error trials.
- (k) Comparison of XOR information on correct (black) and error (magenta) trials, controlling for the sample cue information immediately before making decisions (at the end of the delay segment). Dots indicate individual trials, with XOR information averaged across simultaneously imaged cells in that trial. The running mean (window of 100 trials) is shown with shading indicating mean ± s.e.m. Gray bar at the bottom indicates bins of sample cue information for which XOR information was higher in correct trials (p < 0.05, bootstrap). The correct-error trial difference was significantly larger in RSC compared to V1 for 0.01-0.05 logLR (4 bins) of the sample cue information, and compared to MM for 0-0.02 logLR (2bins) of the sample cue information (p < 0.05, bootstrap). V1: n = 1476 correct / 307 error trials, RSC: n = 1420 correct / 265 error trials, MM: n = 1009 correct / 221 error trials.</p>
- Remarkably, the fraction of cells with mixed selectivity was markedly lower on error trials in RSC (Fig. 361 4h-i). Whereas correct trials had approximately equal numbers of sample cue, mixed, and test cue selective cells, error trials had notably fewer mixed selectivity cells. These differences in the fraction of neurons with mixed selectivity were most prominent in RSC and also present in MM and V1 (Fig. 4i). Relatedly, XOR information in mixed selectivity cells in all areas was greatly reduced on error trials (Fig. 4j). Because mixed selectivity cells were generally active on single trial types (Fig. 3k), the differences in XOR information between correct and error trials were due in large part to encoding changes in single-trial-type selectivity cells. As shown earlier in example cells, some single-trial-type selective cells were less active on error trials (Fig. 2i) and thus had less XOR information when mice made incorrect choices (Fig. 5h, magenta points near the origin). Other cells were active on different trial types on correct versus error trials (Fig. 2j) and encoded the incorrect identity of the sample cue or test cue on error trials (Fig. 4h, magenta points in gray shading) and thus incorrect (negative) XOR information (Fig. 4i, gray shading ranges). Together, these observations support the idea that aberrant activity of mixed selectivity cells across a distributed set of 373 areas, and most strongly in RSC, contributes to incorrect choices. 374 375
 - 15

The lower XOR information on error trials appeared to arise in multiple ways. One possibility is a failure 376 to receive sufficient sample cue information, such as due to fading memory during the delay segment. 377 378 Indeed, sample cue information was lower in RSC and MM in the delay segment on error trials (Fig. 4a,d). Moreover, in the test segment, sample cue information was more reduced on error trials compared to test cue information (Fig. 4d-e). Another possibility is a failure to mix sample cue and test cue information in the test segment to generate XOR information despite the presence of sufficient sample cue information. 381 To test this possibility, on each trial, we computed the population-average information for the sample cue immediately prior to information mixing (i.e., the end of the delay) and for XOR in the test segment 383 (Fig. 4k). For a given level of sample cue information, XOR information in RSC cells in the test segment was much reduced on error trials (compare black and magenta along a vertical slice of Fig. 4k). This result implies that mixing to produce XOR information was less effective on error trials. This difference was more 386 prominent in RSC than in V1 and MM (Fig. 4k). These results suggest that incorrect choices in this task 387 arose from the fading of memory signals as well as a failure to mix memory signals with current sensory signals, particularly in RSC. 389

390

1 Efficient reward direction encoding in populations of mixed selectivity cells

Given the importance of mixed selectivity at the level of single cells, we further investigated if the mixed selectivity cells play a privileged role at the level of populations of neurons. In many cases, it is assumed that the downstream readout operates as a linear decoder. Given that the reward direction is determined by the nonlinear XOR combination of the sample cue and test cue, a linear decoder cannot read out the reward direction from a population of pure selectivity cells, which consists of cells with only sample cue information and cells with only test cue information⁶³. In contrast, a linear decoder can read out the reward direction from cells that contain XOR information, which in our case are the mixed selectivity cells. Thus, the mixed selectivity cells appear particularly critical under common assumptions about linear decoding mechanisms in the brain.

401

However, the brain possesses mechanisms that could enable nonlinear decoding^{67,68}. With a 402 nonlinear decoder, a downstream network could read out the XOR identity by combining cells with pure 403 selectivity, that is by nonlinearly combining cells with pure sample cue selectivity and cells with pure test 404 cue selectivity (Fig. 5a). With the assumption of a nonlinear readout mechanism, we assessed whether 405 populations of mixed selectivity cells were still more informative about the reward direction (XOR identity) 406 than populations of pure selectivity cells, by comparing simultaneously imaged populations from a given 407 imaging field-of-view (~0.5 mm² area). To evaluate the accuracy of the population code, we nonlinearly 408 decoded XOR identity from the population and quantified the mutual information between the true and 409 decoded XOR identity⁶⁹. In RSC, MM, and V1, the mixed selectivity population represented XOR identity 410 more accurately (higher mutual information) than the population of neighboring pure selectivity cells (Fig. 411 5b). Populations of neurons in area A contained little XOR information due to the lack of mixed selectivity 412 cells as well as pure selectivity cells for the sample and test cues (Fig. 5b-c). 413



Fig. 5 | Population code for XOR in populations of mixed selectivity or pure selectivity cells

- (a) Mixed selectivity cells encode the identity of the sample cue, test cue, and reward direction (XOR) (purple rectangle). Neither pure sample cue selective cells nor pure test cue selectivity cells encode XOR by themselves, but XOR can be nonlinearly decoded by combining the two types of pure selectivity cells (white rectangle).
- (b) Mutual information between the true and decoded XOR in populations of mixed selectivity cells $(15^{\circ} < \theta < 75^{\circ}$ in Fig. 4h, correct trials) and pure selectivity cells ($\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ from Fig. 4i, correct trials). Error bars indicate mean ± s.e.m. V1: n = 11 sessions (1783 trials), RSC: n = 12 sessions (1685 trials), MM: n = 7 sessions (1230 trials), A: n = 5 sessions (822 trials). Mutual information in mixed selectivity cells was significantly greater than that in pure selectivity cells in V1 (p=0.0008), RSC (p < 10⁻⁴), MM (p < 10⁻⁴), and A (p = 0.046). All p values were calculated by bootstrap and the significance threshold was not adjusted for multiple comparisons for panels (b-d).
- (c) Number of cells classified as mixed selective or pure selective (T = test cue selective; S = sample cue selective) per session. Error bars indicate mean \pm s.e.m. V1: n = 11 sessions, RSC: n = 12 sessions, MM: n = 7 sessions, A: n = 5 sessions. The number of cells was significantly larger for pure selective cells than for mixed selective cells in V1 (p < 10⁻⁴) and MM (p = 0.002), but not in RSC (p = 0.83) and MM (p = 0.09).
- (d) Mutual information between the true and decoded XOR, computed separately on correct and error trials. Error bars indicate mean \pm s.e.m. V1: n = 1476 correct / 307 error trials, RSC: n = 1420 correct / 265 error trials, MM: n = 1009 correct / 221 error trials, A: n = 753 correct / 69 error trials. For mixed selectivity cells, the difference between correct and error was significantly different from zero in V1 (p < 10⁻⁴), RSC (p < 10⁻⁴), MM (p < 10⁻⁴), and A (p = 0.030). For pure selectivity cells, the difference between correct and error was significantly different from zero in A (p = 0.013), but not in V1 (p = 0.92), RSC (p = 0.12), and MM (p = 0.83). The difference between correct and error in mixed selective cells was significantly larger than that for pure selective cells in V1, RSC, and MM (p < 10⁻⁴) but not in A (p = 0.88). The difference between correct and error in mixed selective cells was significantly larger in RSC than in V1 (p = 0.0001) or MM (p = 0.0063).

We wanted to understand what contributes to the difference in the accuracy of the population code 415 between the mixed selectivity and pure selectivity populations. One possibility is that there is a significant 416 417 difference in the number of cells that fall into these groups. However, there were fewer, or at most equal, mixed selectivity cells relative to pure selectivity cells (Fig. 5c), indicating efficient XOR encoding of the 418 mixed selectivity populations by containing more XOR information in a given number of cells. Second, 419 it is possible that correlations in the activity between neurons in the population differed, such as noise 420 correlations that create redundancy between neurons. However, in both the mixed selectivity and pure 421 selectivity populations, the magnitude of noise correlations was similar (Supplementary Fig. 6a-c). Also, 422 the difference in XOR information between these populations largely remained even after disrupting noise 423 correlations by shuffling trial labels independently for each neuron within a given trial type (Supplementary 424 Fig. 6d). Together, these results indicate that the higher accuracy in the mixed selectivity population was not 425 due to major differences in the population size or activity correlations, implying that the mixed selectivity 426 itself is the key feature for an efficient code, which represents the reward direction more accurately in a 427 smaller number of neurons. 428

We tested if this efficiency was a general property of mixed selectivity populations. Many properties 430 of a neural population can potentially contribute to a population code, including the number of cells, 431 noise correlations, and signal-to-noise ratio of encoding. Because it is difficult to control for and vary 432 these properties in real data, we simulated neural activity and compared the decoding accuracy from 433 either a mixed or pure selectivity population, while equalizing the properties between the two except for 434 their selectivity (Supplementary Fig. 7a). Interestingly, across all conditions, a mixed selectivity population 435 showed efficient XOR representation, in the sense that a mixed selectivity population encoded XOR more 436 accurately than the same size of a pure selectivity population, although it was less informative about either 437 the sample cue or the test cue (Supplementary Fig. 7b-e). Furthermore, a simulated mixed selectivity 438 439 population was also energetically efficient for encoding XOR in our task because it conveyed more XOR information per spike compared to a pure selectivity population (Supplementary Fig. 7f-g). Together, mixed 440 selectivity appears to account for the higher efficiency of the population code. 441

This efficiency can be intuitively explained by the number of decisions required to decode XOR (Supplementary Fig. 8a). In a pure selectivity population, both the sample cue and test cue need to be encoded correctly in order for XOR to be decoded correctly from the population (Supplementary Fig. 8c). In contrast, XOR can be directly decoded from a mixed selectivity population, requiring only a single variable to be encoded correctly (Supplementary Fig. 8b). Thus, a mixed selectivity population enables more accurate decoding of XOR for a given condition even with a nonlinear decoding mechanism.

449

442

429

Finally, in imaged populations, XOR information was higher on correct trials than on error trials in mixed selectivity populations, supporting the notion that when mixing is reduced, the mouse could not make accurate choices (Fig. 5d). In contrast, the XOR information decoded from the populations of pure selectivity cells showed smaller differences between correct and error trials. Thus, the mixed selectivity populations may be used to guide the choice toward the reward direction, whereas the pure selectivity populations appear to be less critical. Notably, all the properties of mixed selectivity populations were present in RSC, V1, and MM (Fig. 5d), indicating that a distributed network of mixed selectivity cells could be

important for flexible decisions. However, whereas V1 and MM had a lower proportion of mixed selectivity 457 cells than pure selectivity cells, RSC had similar proportions of each type (Fig. 3i, Fig. 5b), resulting in the 458 largest number of mixed selectivity cells in RSC (Fig. 5c). This difference in proportions of cells, together 459 with the loss of XOR information in mixed selectivity cells on error trials (Fig. 4j), explains why RSC has the 460 largest change in XOR information between correct and error trials when averaged across individual cells 461 (Fig. 4f-g) or when quantified in its mixed selectivity population (Fig. 5d). For these analyses, similar results 462 were present for a fixed neural population size (Supplementary Fig. 5). Together, these results suggest that 463 a distributed network of mixed selectivity cells could be critical for flexible navigation decisions. These 464 cells were surprisingly sparse in anterior PPC (area A) and densest in RSC, endowing RSC with the highest 465 capacity to represent XOR information that could be read out to guide choices. 466

467 468

469 Discussion

Our work demonstrates a visual-parietal-retrosplenial network plays a central role in the flexibility 470 of decision-making during navigation. We first performed an optogenetics screen to find cortical areas 471 necessary for the task and then used calcium imaging in these areas to screen for the activity patterns 472 related to accurate decision-making. This relatively unbiased approach allowed us to discover three key 473 findings. First, activity in the visual-parietal-retrosplenial network was necessary for accurate performance 474 on the task, in particular during the test segment when the memory information must be combined with 475 the visual information to make a left-right decision. Second, within this network, we identified neurons 476 that nonlinearly mix short-term memory with visual information in the form of single-trial-type selectivity. 477 This mixed selectivity appeared useful for guiding navigation choices because it formed an efficient code 478 that accurately represented the reward direction (XOR) in a relatively small number of cells and could be 479 read out easily with a linear decoder. Third, these mixed selectivity cells had high XOR information when the mouse made correct choices but low XOR information when the mouse made errors, suggesting that 481 their activity could be used to guide the mouse's choices. Together, these findings lead to the intriguing 482 proposal that the mixed selectivity cells have a causal link to the flexibility of navigation decisions. 483

484

The mixed selectivity cells for memory and visual information were distributed across many areas of 485 posterior cortex and had similar features across the areas. However, relative to other posterior cortical areas, RSC showed an enrichment of these cells, the most equal mixing of memory and visual information, 487 the highest XOR information, and the largest differences in encoding of reward direction between correct and error trials. The discovery of RSC's central involvement in flexible navigation decisions was surprising to us because studies of decision-making have often highlighted the importance of other cortical areas, such 490 as frontal and parietal cortices^{3,33-35,47,62,70-75}. In contrast, RSC is widely viewed as important for encoding 491 current navigational variables^{23-25,27,29,30,76-78}. RSC can also represent a wide range of internal signals, including 492 spatial memory⁴²⁻⁴⁴, time^{79,80}, and value^{81,82}. Although recent studies have indicated a role for RSC for fixed 493 sensorimotor associations in decision-making tasks^{37,38,40}, our work extends further by showing how 494 memory and sensory signals in RSC can be combined to mediate flexibility of sensorimotor associations 495 during decision-making. We propose that a general function of RSC is to combine internal signals with 496 current sensory signals by forming a mixed representation that can flexibly guide navigation actions. Thus, 497 the mixed selectivity observed in our study could be a specific case of a more general function of RSC, and 498 our results highlight RSC as a candidate area to investigate flexibility of decision-making during navigation. 499

While we found an enrichment of mixed representations in RSC, further investigation will be required to understand mechanisms that generate these representations. It is possible that RSC receives inputs that separately contain visual and memory information, as is consistent with the dense axonal projections it receives from visual cortices and the hippocampal formation⁸³⁻⁸⁶. Indeed, the hippocampus contains cells that encode the identity of a landmark even behind the animal (i.e., landmark vector cells)⁸⁷. These cells might maintain the short-term memory of the sample cue identity in our task and provide the memory information to RSC. In this case, RSC neurons might play an active role in mixing these streams of information. Alternatively, the mixed representations might arise through computations in a distributed network spanning multiple areas, which is consistent with the presence of mixed selectivity neurons throughout posterior cortex in our experiments. Future studies aimed at characterizing and manipulating the inputs to RSC will help to clarify whether RSC plays a causal role in mixing memory and visual information.

512

An appreciable fraction of V1 neurons also showed similar mixed selectivity. In the population of V1 neurons, the current visual cue was more strongly encoded than the memory, which contrasted with the RSC population that had equal mixing of the two. Although the delay length in our task was comparable to the typical delay length used in delayed match tasks in monkeys^{5,6,8,45} and humans⁴⁶, some of the "memory" in V1 (and possibly other areas) during the delay segment might have resulted from decaying visual signals or short-term synaptic plasticity⁴⁹⁻⁵¹, which could result in nonlinear interactions of sequential visual signals from the sample and test cues (e.g., visual adaptation). Regardless, the reward direction (XOR) was encoded in V1 by mixed selectivity cells, which had similar properties to those in RSC. This finding adds to a growing list of functions for V1 during navigation, including representing running velocity⁸⁸, head angular velocity⁸⁹, spatial position³¹, and reward-related activity⁹⁰.

523

Surprisingly, PPC had the lowest density of mixed selectivity cells in the population. The activity in area A was mostly related to the locomotion of the mouse. This locomotor-related activity is unlikely to be essential for driving motor outputs because when we inhibited PPC, including A, in our optogenetics experiments, the mouse completed trials without obvious motor deficits (Supplementary Fig. 2j-o). Consistently, in previous work that inhibited cortical locations near A, the mouse was able to perform simple locomotor tasks and only showed deficits in decision-making tasks^{33,39}. Area MM had an activity profile intermediate between RSC and V1. Even when considering this part of PPC, the magnitude of XOR information and the density of mixed selectivity cells were lower than in RSC. Much previous work has connected PPC with decision-making during navigation. Our findings indicate that PPC's role in navigation decisions likely does not include the integration of memory and visual information. Instead, PPC may be important for planning and guiding upcoming navigational actions with neighboring areas^{18,33}.

535

These differences across areas formed a gradient rather than sharp boundaries, consistent with a representational principle that each area encodes all or most of the essential task variables but with key distinctions in the magnitude of encoding of those variables in each area⁵⁴. Together, the neural representation gradually shifted from mixed selectivity in RSC to locomotor selectivity in the anterior part of PPC (area A). This spatial gradient appears to overlap with the spatial gradient going from allocentric representations in RSC to egocentric representations in PPC²⁰. This overlap might reflect a relationship between decision-making and navigation. Allocentric representations often rely on memory (e.g., past

Iandmarks) when the current sensory cues do not fully identify the animal's location. If we regard each trial type as a different maze environment, the single-trial-type selectivity can be interpreted as an allocentric representation to identify the mouse's location (maze environment) by using the current sensory cue (test cue) and the memory of the past landmark (sample cue). By contrast, the locomotor-related activity can be interpreted as an egocentric representation that encodes running with respect to the mouse's heading direction. Therefore, along the spatial gradient from RSC to PPC, the conversion of the reference frames in navigation might share the same mechanism that converts the mixture of memory and visual information into locomotor selectivity during flexible navigation decisions.

Our findings highlight the importance of nonlinear mixed selectivity for flexible decision-making during navigation. Our results support the theoretical idea that nonlinear mixed selectivity generates a high dimensional neural representation, which increases the number of ways to flexibly associate inputs to outputs by a linear decoder^{63,64}. Whereas some studies have found dense activity patterns across conditions in individual neurons^{10,64,71}, we found mixed selectivity cells with sparse activity arising mostly for a specific condition (trial type) defined by a combination of the sample cue and test cue. We were surprised by the scarcity of mixed selectivity cells that represented only the reward direction, and by the prominence of the mixed selectivity cells that instead encoded the reward direction along with other task variables (i.e., the sample and test cue). This sparse form of mixed selectivity may be more interpretable for the navigation system because it can represent a specific allocentric location (or maze environment).

Furthermore, we demonstrated that mixed selectivity cells efficiently formed a more accurate representation of XOR than pure selectivity cells in real and simulated populations. In addition, the sparse representation seems energetically efficient in the sense that it transmits more information per spike⁹¹. While it is costly for the brain to maintain nonlinear mixed selectivity for numerous combinations of features in the real world, it appears beneficial to create selective cells for familiar and important combinations of features, such as the combinations of the sample cues and test cues that indicate the reward direction in our experiments. Theoretically, in a population of pure selectivity cells, if the decoding accuracy for a single stimulus feature is *p*, then the decoding accuracy for a combination of *k* independent features would decay exponentially with the number of features as p^k . In contrast, decoding accuracy for mixed selectivity cells would not suffer from such a decay. Thus, nonlinear mixed selectivity cells might have an advantage to provide a compact and accurate representation for the recognition of complex stimuli, such as spatial environments in our cases.

Although much work has highlighted the prevalence of mixed selectivity in the brain^{8,64,71,92,93}, its importance has often been inferred from artificially created populations of non-simultaneously recorded neurons. Instead, we demonstrated the importance of nonlinear mixing for accurate behavior in a real, large-scale, simultaneously recorded population. Furthermore, we showed that mixed selectivity is a distributed property across multiple parts of posterior cortex, including V1. The major differences between correct and error trials in populations of mixed selectively cells, especially relative to other types of selectivity, provide the suggestion that mixed selectivity has a causal role in flexible decisions. Now that we have identified these putative decision-related signals, as experimental approaches become readily available to manipulate the activity of specific cells based on their encoding properties⁹⁴⁻⁹⁹, it will be of great interest to test directly the causal role of mixed selectivity cells for flexible navigation decisions.

586

587 Data Availability

Data are available upon request to the corresponding author.

590 Code Availability

⁵⁹¹ Customized codes are available upon request to the corresponding author.

592

589

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Harvey lab and Giuseppe Pica for helpful discussions and Leah Thomas, David Allen, Vishal Sharoff, Theodore Lutkus, Joseph Deluisi, and Alec Nelson for assistance with behavioral training of mice and experiments. This work was supported by grants from the NIH (R01 MH107620, R01 NS089521, R01 NS108410, DP1 MH125776). SK was supported by an Alice and Joseph Brooks Fund Fellowship, a Leonard and Isabelle Goldenson Postdoctoral Fellowship, an Uehara Foundation Research Fellowship, a JSPS Overseas Research Fellowship, and a NARSAD Young Investigator Grant. SP received support from the Fondation Bertarelli.

Author Contributions

SK and CDH conceived of the study. ASM and SK developed the behavioral task. SK performed all
 experiments (optogenetics inhibition, two-photon calcium imaging, and electrophysiology). SK analyzed
 the data with input from CDH, SP, and HS. SP contributed to the design of data analysis approaches. SK,
 SP, and CDH interpreted the results. CDH supervised all aspects of the research. SK, SP, and CDH wrote the
 paper with input from HS.

608

609 **Competing interests**

- ⁶¹⁰ The authors declare no competing interests.
- 611
- 612 **Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to CDH.

613 Methods

615 Animals

614

All experimental procedures were approved by the Harvard Medical School Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were performed in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Six male C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Laboratory, Stock No. 000664) were used for the calcium imaging experiments, and twelve male VGAT-ChR2-EYFP mice (eleven mice from Jackson Laboratory, Stock No. 014548; one mouse with VGAT-IRES-Cre/+; Ai32/+) were used for the optogenetics experiments. Most of the mice were 8-16 weeks old at the start of behavioral training. Mice were housed on a reverse 12 hour dark/light cycle and in pairs of littermates. Mouse health was evaluated daily.

623

24 Surgical procedures

625 Calcium imaging surgery

For calcium imaging experiments, prior to behavioral training, mice were implanted with a titanium implant that was designed for imaging procedures. The headplate had an opening in the middle that allowed subsequent placement of a cranial imaging window. The location of the headplate opening was centered at 2.0 mm lateral and 2.5 mm posterior relative to bregma to allow imaging in V1, RSC, and PPC and was centered at 1.2 mm lateral and 1.2 mm anterior relative to bregma for imaging in M1 and M2. The headplate was affixed to the skull by dental cement (Radiopaque Metabond, Parkell) mixed with India ink (5% vol/vol) to increase light shielding for imaging. To allow for an imaging plane parallel to the surface of cortex, the headplate was tilted by 15° in roll relative to the mouse's body axis. In the initial surgery prior to behavioral training, the planned center coordinates for the cranial window were marked by a drill and ink on the skull and covered by transparent dental acrylic (Ortho-Jet, Lang Dental), so that the coordinates could be recovered in a subsequent cranial window surgery.

637

A cranial window surgery was performed when the mouse had achieved high performance on the delayed match-to-sample task (greater than 80% correct). The cranial window was made centered at the coordinates marked during the initial implantation of the headplate (2.0 mm lateral and 2.5 mm posterior to bregma for posterior imaging; 1.2 mm lateral and 1.2 mm anterior to bregma for anterior imaging). A window for posterior imaging was constructed by bonding two 3.5 or 4.0 mm-diameter coverslips to each other and to an outer 4.0 or 5.0-mm diameter coverslip (#1 thickness, Warner Instruments). A window for anterior imaging was constructed by bonding two laser-cut 2.0 x 2.5 mm oval coverslips to each other and to an outer 3.0 mm diameter coverslip (#1 thickness, Warner Instruments). Coverslips were bonded to each other by UV-curable optical adhesive (Norland Optics NOA 65 or 68).

647

AAV2/1-synapsin-GCaMP6s-WPRE-SV40 virus (University of Pennsylvania Vector Core Facility, Catalog No. AV-1-PV2824) was diluted to \sim 0.5–1.0 x 10¹³ gc/mL in phosphate-buffered saline. Injections were made using a glass pipette and custom air-pressure injection system. At each site, \sim 50–70 nL was injected 250-300 µm and 450-500 µm below the dura over 1-2 minutes. After each injection, the pipette was left in place for an additional 1-2 minutes. For the posterior cranial window, a glass pipette was inserted at a 30° angle relative to the brain surface, and virus was injected at three sites in V1 (2.2 mm lateral, 3.5 mm

posterior; 2.8 mm lateral, 3.5 mm posterior; 2.8 mm lateral, 3.0 mm posterior to bregma), two sites in RSC (0.5 mm lateral, 2.15 mm posterior; 0.5 mm lateral, 2.85 mm posterior to bregma), and three sites for PPC (1.2 mm lateral, 1.75 mm posterior; 1.8 mm lateral, 2.0 mm posterior; 2.5 mm lateral, 2.25 mm posterior to bregma). For the anterior cranial window, a glass pipette was inserted perpendicular to the brain surface, and virus was injected at ten sites across M1/M2 areas (nine sites in a 3 x 3 grid pattern centered at 1.2 mm lateral, 1.2 mm anterior to bregma with 500 µm spacing, and one site near ALM at 1.2 mm lateral, 2.2 mm anterior to bregma). When the planned coordinates overlapped with blood vessels, injections were shifted by 50-100 µm to avoid rupturing the vessels.

662

The window was sealed using dental cement (Radiopaque Metabond, Parkell) mixed with India ink (5% vol/vol). To prevent light contamination and create a water bath for imaging, an aluminum ring was affixed to the top of the headplate with dental cement mixed with India ink. Experiments started after ~2 weeks from viral injection and typically continued for 6–8 weeks. Experiments were terminated when GCaMP6s expression appeared high, with some neurons exhibiting GCaMP6s in the nucleus.

008

69 Optogenetics surgery

For optogenetics experiments, mice were implanted with a clear skull cap, following procedures described previously^{47,54}. The cranial surface was exposed, and the headplate was affixed to the interparietal bone (behind the lambda suture) by transparent dental cement (Clear Metabond, Parkell). The remaining cranial surface was covered by a thin layer of cyanoacrylate (Insta-Cure, Bob Smith Industries) and reinforced by ~1 mm layer of transparent dental acrylic (Ortho-Jet, Lang Dental) on top. After a mouse was trained, the acrylic surface was polished with a polishing drill (Model 6100, Vogue Professional) using denture polishing bits (HP0412, AZDENT) and coated by a thin layer of clear nail polish (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 72180). For light shielding, an aluminum ring was affixed to the top of the headplate by dental cement mixed with India ink or carbon (Sigma-Aldrich). Fiducial marks were made on the aluminum ring to aid laser alignment.

Behavior

Virtual reality system

The virtual reality system was built based on previous designs^{33,34}. Virtual reality environments were constructed and operated using MATLAB-based ViRMEn software (Virtual Reality Mouse Engine)¹⁰⁰. A projector (PicoPro / PicoBit, Celluon Inc., Laser Beam Pro, KDC) was used to display the virtual environment onto the back side of a 24-inch diameter half-cylindrical screen. The virtual environment was updated in response to the mouse's locomotion on an open cell Styrofoam spherical treadmill (8-inch diameter, ~135 g). Two optical sensors (ADNS-9800, Avago Technologies) positioned 45° below the equator of the ball and separated by 90° in azimuth were connected to a Teensy-3.2 microcontroller (PJRC.COM) to measure the 3-dimensional rotation velocity of the ball (roll, pitch, and yaw).

691

Delayed match-to-sample task

Mice performed the navigation-based delayed match-to-sample task in a virtual reality T-maze (Fig. 1a,

⁶⁹⁴ Supplementary Fig. 9d). The mouse traversed three segments: sample, delay, and test in the T-maze. In

each segment, the mouse spent a variable duration from trial to trial depending on the maze traversal speed. In the sample segment, either black (B) or white (W) patterns on the wall provided the sample cue. In the delay segment, the walls had a gray pattern in all trials. The test segment provided the test cue, either white patterns on the left side and black patterns on the right side (WB) or vice versa (BW). The test cue was not revealed (and not visible) until the mouse reached a defined spatial position (240 cm away from the maze start). At the T-intersection, the mouse was required to make a right or left choice by turning to the T-arms whose color matched the sample cue. When the mouse ran 20 cm into the Tarm, the trial ended. The correct choice was rewarded by 4-5 μ L of 10x diluted sweetened condensed milk (Eagle Brand) or 30 mM acesulfame solution (Prescribed For Life). Incorrect choices were not rewarded, and consecutive error trials were penalized by prolonged inter-trial intervals. After the intertrial interval (at least 4 s), the next trial started with the mouse location reset to the beginning of the maze. The sample and test cues were randomly selected on each trial and were thus independent of one another.

707

The length of the T-stem was 400 cm, of which the last 160 cm was allocated to the test segment. The delay segment ranged from 20 to 100 cm in length and varied based on the behavioral performance (see below). The remaining maze length was allocated to the sample segment (140 to 220 cm).

In order to control the visual scene, movement in the virtual environment was constrained. The mouse's heading angle was fixed straight throughout a trial. In the T-stem, only forward/backward movements were allowed in the T-stem, and lateral movements were not allowed; the pitch velocity of the spherical treadmill was translated into forward/backward movement, and the roll and yaw velocities did not affect movement in the virtual environment. Backward movement was not observed in any mice. In the T-arms, only lateral movements were allowed; the roll velocity was translated into lateral movements, and the pitch and yaw velocities did not affect the movement.

The black and white walls for the sample cue and test cue had dot patterns (white dots on a black wall and black dots on a white wall). The gray wall for the delay segment had a striated pattern to create optic flow.

723

719

724 Behavioral training

Starting five days before the first training session, mice were put on a water restriction schedule that limited their total consumption to 1 mL per day. The weight of each mouse was monitored daily and additional water was given if the mouse's weight fell below 80% of the pre-training weight. Mice were trained daily for 45-60 minutes at approximately the same time each day. Mice learned the task through the following four phases of training (Supplementary Fig. 9). Approximately 25-50% of mice learned to perform the delayed match-to-sample task with high accuracy (greater than 80% correct). To increase the statistical power for the analyses of error trials, we included more sessions with moderate accuracy (~80%) to analyze the imaging experiments, compared to the optogenetic experiments.

734 Phase 1: Linear track

For the first phase, mice were trained to run straight on the spherical treadmill in a linear track. Mice received a reward when they reached a gray tower presented at the end of the linear track. Pitch and roll velocity were translated to the forward speed and rotation of heading angle, respectively. The heading angle was not fixed at this stage, so that mice were required to correct their running trajectory to a straight path; this appeared to help produce good control of the spherical treadmill. For initial trials, the track length was short to increase the frequency of reinforcement. The track length was gradually extended if mice reached a tower within 30 s. Typically, mice learned to run along a ~300 cm track within 3-5 days of training.

743

744 Phase 2: Single tower T-maze

For the second phase, mice were trained to make visually guided turns to the right or left in a T-maze. The length of the T-stem was set to 200 cm, and a single gray tower was presented either behind the right or left T-arm throughout the trial. Mice received a reward when they reached the gray tower by turning towards the tower at the T-intersection. To facilitate training for the following phases, the T-stem had either a black or white wall pattern (later used as the sample cue) whereas T-arms had the black pattern on one arm and white pattern on the other (later used as the test cue). The gray tower was located behind the arm that matched the color to the initial cue. While mice could make turns based only on the gray tower, the wall patterns acclimated mice for the next phase of training.

753

4 Phase 3: Two tower T-maze

For the third phase, mice learned associations between a combination of wall patterns and turning directions (choices). The maze structure was the same as in the second phase, except now we interleaved trials with a single tower (identical to Phase 2 trials) with trials with two towers, in which a tower was located behind both T-arms. For two tower trials, mice were no longer able to rely on the tower location to decide the turning direction and instead were required to use the wall patterns to make choices following the delayed match-to-sample rule. The fraction of two-tower trials was dynamically adjusted based on the performance criteria described below; the fraction was increased or decreased with a step of 10%. When the fraction of two-tower trials reached 100% and the behavioral performance was consistently above 90% accuracy, the mice were advanced to the final phase of training.

764

65 Phase 4: Delayed match-to-sample task with variable delay

For the final phase, mice learned to make a choice in a longer maze (400 cm) based on the combination of the sample and test cues, except now with a delay segment added. With a delay segment, the sample cue disappeared at the onset of the delay, and the test cue appeared instantaneously at the offset of the delay. The test cue was not visible by looking down the T-stem; rather, we made the test cue appear at an exact spatial location (240 cm away from the maze start). This was the first training phase with a delay segment. We gradually lengthened the delay segment as the mouse's performance improved. We lengthened the delay segment while simultaneously shortening the sample segment, thus keeping the overall maze length constant. For our analyses, we included trials with a delay length of at least 20 cm.

Because this task was challenging for the mouse, we found it was helpful to include "crutch trials" that
were easy for the mouse. In randomly selected 10% of trials, we omitted the delay segment
(Supplementary Fig. 9e). These trials had a maze structure similar to the two tower T-maze in Phase 3.
Crutch trials were not analyzed in the imaging and optogenetics experiments.

779

80 Adjustment of task difficulty

The task difficulty was adjusted by the length of the delay segment (Phase 4) or the fraction of two-tower trials (Phase 3). We adjusted the difficulty level based on the behavioral performance, statistically evaluated by a sequential probability ratio test^{101,102}. When the test decided that the mouse's performance was at the chance level (50%), task difficulty was decreased, whereas when the test decided that the mouse's performance was greater than 80% correct, task difficulty was increased. We set the highest and lowest levels of difficulty, so the level was adjusted within a set range. Performance was evaluated using all trials from the last statistical decision up to a current trial in a session. For well-trained mice, the task difficulty typically remained stationary throughout a session after initial increases at the start of the session.

791 **Optogenetics experiments**

The photoinhibition system was built based on a previous design⁵⁴. A 470 nm laser (LRD-0470-PFR-00200, Laserglow Technologies) was passed through galvanometric scan mirrors (6210H, Cambridge Technology) and focused using an achromatic doublet lens (f = 300 or 400 mm, AC508-300-A-ML, AC508-400-A-ML, Thorlabs). The laser (analog power modulation, off to 95% power rise time, 50 ms) and mirrors (< 5 ms step time for steps up to 20 mm) allowed simultaneous inhibition of bilateral sites by rapidly alternating the inhibition sites by moving the mirrors while the laser was turned off. The focused laser had a top-hat profile with a diameter of approximately 200 μm.

799

In each hemisphere of VGAT-ChR2 mice, 28 inhibition target sites were arranged in a grid with 1 mm spacing, covering from 0.5 to 3.5 mm in the medial-lateral direction and from -4 mm to +3 mm in the anterior-posterior direction with respect to bregma (Fig. 1I). For three mice, inhibition was always applied throughout a whole trial, and for four mice, inhibition was applied throughout a whole trial or during a specific trial segment (sample, delay, or test segment). In a separate four mice, including one VGAT-IRES-Cre/+; Ai32/+ mouse, inhibition was expanded to three bilateral pairs of sites in RSC (centered at -3.5, -2.5, -1.5 AP; 0.5 ML) in all segments or in a specific trial segment (sample, delay, or test segment).

807

The inhibition was applied in randomly selected and interleaved 10-40% of trials. The fraction of inhibition trials was adjusted to maintain behavioral performance above ~80% correct across all trials. In each inhibition trial, the combination of bilateral inhibition sites and the inhibition segment were selected randomly without replacement. When all combinations were selected, the process was reinitialized and repeated.

813

The laser power at each inhibition site had a near-sinusoidal temporal profile at 40 Hz and time-averaged power of 2–5 mW. For the expanded inhibition in RSC, the total laser power across the three sites on each

hemisphere was ~5–10 mW for three mice and ~5 mW for one VGAT-IRES-Cre/+; Ai32/+ mouse. To ensure
inhibition prior to the mouse seeing the sample cue, the laser was turned on 1 s before the trial onset for
the inhibition throughout the whole trial and for the sample segment inhibition. For a similar reason for
the test cue, the light was turned on 20 cm before the test segment for the test segment inhibition. The
light was turned off at the trial end (i.e., onset of the inter-trial interval).

821

822 Electrophysiology

To measure the spatial extent of optogenetic inhibition across cortical areas, extracellular recordings were 823 conducted in one VGAT-ChR2-YFP mouse (Supplementary Fig. 2). A small craniotomy (diameter of ~1 mm) 824 was created on the left side of the region of interest in the left hemisphere one day before the recording 825 sessions. A 32-channel silicon probe (A1x32-Poly2-5mm-50s-177, NeuroNexus) was angled at 55-60° from 826 the cortical surface at the entry point to avoid blocking the laser oriented perpendicularly to the cranial 827 surface. The tip of the probe was advanced down to 1 mm below the dura for PPC and RSC recordings, and to 1.7 mm below the dura for M2/ACC recordings, based on manipulator readings. The signals were 829 recorded at 20 kHz by the Intan RHD2000 Evaluation System, and highpass-filtered at 250 Hz offline. Multi-830 unit activity was measured offline by counting the number of spikes that crossed a threshold, which was 831 adjusted to include most of the local spikes in the absence of optogenetic inhibition.

833

The number of spikes were counted across recording sites during the first 5 s of a laser-on period, and then normalized to the spike counts during 5 s of a laser-off period immediately before the laser onset. Trials with less than 5 spike counts in the laser-off period were excluded. Recordings between 600 and prior µm below the cortical surface were used for the evaluation of effects as a function of the horizontal distance from the laser center (Supplementary Fig. 2d-f). Recordings within 500 µm from the laser center were used for the evaluation across the cortical depth (Supplementary Fig. 2g-i).

840

41 Widefield retinotopic mapping

To locate the imaged areas with respect to visual areas, a retinotopic map was obtained from two of four mice that were used for imaging of posterior cortical areas (V1, RSC, MM, and A). The details of the procedure were described previously⁴¹.

845

Widefield images were collected by a custom-built epifluorescence macroscope¹⁰³. Excitation light was
provided by a blue LED (455 nm LED, Thorlabs; band-pass filtered at 469 nm with 35nm bandwidth,
Thorlabs), focused on and reflected by a small mirror (8 mm Diameter 45° Rod Mirror, Edmund Optics).
The reflected diverging light passed through a camera lens (NIKKOR AI-S FX 50mmf/1.2, Nikon) and
illuminated the cortical surface. Emission light was collimated through the same camera lens, band-pass
filtered (525 nm with 39 nm bandwidth, Thorlabs), and imaged by a second lens (SY85MAE-N 85 mm F1.4,
Samyang) onto a CMOS camera (ace acA1920-155um, Basler).

853

Mice with expression of GCaMP6s were lightly anesthetized by isoflurane on a platform with their head angled at 30° with respect to a computer display (MG279Q, Asus), so that their right eye was positioned in front of the display. The visual stimulus was designed based on a previous study¹⁰⁴. A spherically

corrected black and white checkered moving bar (5 or 7 deg in width) swept vertically and horizontally in 857 four directions across the display with the speed of 7 deg/s. Each sweep direction was repeated six times 858 during a block, and four or six blocks were presented in a session. Images were collected at 60 Hz, 859 synchronized with the presentation of the visual stimulus on the display. The retinotopy was inferred from the spatial phase (location) of the stimulus that excited cells at the sweeping frequency¹⁰⁵. Images were 861 averaged across repeats for a given stimulus location (discretized in 2 deg bins) and smoothed by a Gaussian filter (25 µm s.d.). For each pixel., the spatial phase of the fluorescence signal was computed by 863 Fourier transform, separately for the vertical and horizontal sweeps. The gradient of the spatial phase was 864 used to compute the field sign map¹⁰⁶. The retinotopic maps were overlaid on the maps of area boundaries 865 based on the Allen Mouse Brain Common Coordinate Framework⁵⁶, by aligning the border between V1, PM, and AM (Fig. 2a)¹⁰⁷.

869 Two-photon calcium imaging

Imaging data were collected by a custom-built two-photon microscope. The microscope consisted of a resonant scanning mirror and a galvanometric mirror, separated by a scan lens relay telescope. Excitation light was delivered from Ti:sapphire laser (Coherent). The average laser power at the sample was ~50 mW. The emission light was separated into green and red channels by a dichroic mirror (580 nm longpass, Semrock) and bandpass filters (525/50 and 641/75 nm, Semrock), and collected by GaAsP photomultiplier tubes (Hamamatsu). Signals were recorded only from the green channel for the analyses. Fluorescence light collection optics were housed in an aluminum enclosure to avoid the interference of visible light.

878

The behavioral setup (the mouse, spherical treadmill, head fixation system, and reward delivery system) was mounted on a 12" x 12" breadboard (Thorlabs) on a XYZ translation stage (Dover Motion).

881

A Nikon 16x, 0.8 NA objective lens was used to collect images from a ~700 µm x ~700 µm plane. Scanimage
(Vidrio Technologies) was used to acquire 512 x 512 pixel images at 30 Hz. The imaging location and depth
was varied daily to sample data from a wide range of areas and depths. The image plane was chosen
between 100 µm and 400 µm below the dura, with the majority of planes between 100 µm and 200 µm
(50/56 planes). 100,000 frames were recorded in each imaging session over ~1 hour. To align timestamps
between the image acquisition and the virtual reality software, clock pulses were output from ScanImage
at each frame and from ViRMEn at each refresh of the virtual environment, and saved together in a
separate computer.

890

891 Image and signal processing

Acquired images were motion corrected in hierarchical steps⁴¹. First, "line-shift correction" was applied to align line-by-line alternating offsets in images caused by bidirectional scanning. Then, "sample movement correction" was applied to eliminate between-frame movement artifacts (rigid transformation) by FFT-based 2d cross-correlation¹⁰⁸, and to mitigate within-frame movement artifacts (non-rigid transformation) by Lucas-Kanade method¹⁰⁹. Finally, "sample deformation correction" was applied to compensate the image deformation (non-rigid transformation) induced by expansion or

contraction of the brain tissue over long timescales (over minutes to an hour). This was achieved by adjusting the mean image of each imaging block (1,000 frames) to the global reference image (mean image of the middle block of a session), by sequential rigid, affine, and non-rigid transformations, in this order. All of these transformations were combined into one nonlinear displacement field using cubic interpolation and applied to the imaging data in a single step to minimize interpolation artifacts.

After the motion correction, potential fluorescence from sources and neuropils were extracted by Suite2P¹¹⁰. These sources were manually curated to remove sources not corresponding to cells and those with artifacts (e.g., drifting fluorescence baseline) or low signal-to-noise ratio. For the calculation of dF/F₀, F₀ was estimated as the running median of the raw fluorescence signal from each source. dF was estimated by subtracting neuropil fluorescence from the source fluorescence to extract the fluorescence from a cell, F_{cell}, and then subtracting the baseline of F_{cell} (estimated by its running median).

910

dF/F was deconvolved by OASIS AR1¹¹¹, which models each fluorescence transient as a spike of an
 instantaneous increase followed by an exponential decay, whose decay constant was fitted to each cell.
 The deconvolved signal was sparse in time and varied in magnitude in units of dF/F. For each imaging
 frame (35 ms bin), the deconvolved signal was binarized into a spike if the signal magnitude was greater
 than zero, or no spike otherwise.

916

Researcher Degrees of Freedom

Before data collection, we pre-specified locations of optogenetics inhibition and the groupings of locations into areas for pooled analysis based on the Allen Mouse CCF. Because our study was exploratory, we did not pre-specify hypotheses to be tested, location of imaging, data analysis methods, statistical tests, indexes, or metrics. We did not pre-specify the number of mice or cells to be collected, but the collected 921 data size was comparable to or larger than previous studies from our lab. For the optogenetics experiments, data collection typically continued as long as the mice maintained accurate performance on control trials (greater than ~90%). For the two-photon calcium imaging experiments, data collection typically continued while GCaMP6s was expressed at an optimal level (~2-10 weeks after viral injection) and terminated with its overexpression, which was detected by GCaMP6s expression in the nucleus of several cells in fields-of-view. We excluded mice from the experiments if they did not progress to the final stage of the training to perform the delayed match-to-sample task with reasonable accuracy (greater than ~80% correct). We excluded imaging sessions from the analyses if the imaged area was outside of the areas of interest or if the mouse performed with low accuracy (less than ~70% correct). We excluded cells from the GLM-based analyses if the model fit did not converge (typically due to temporally sparse activity). 1–16% of cells were excluded in V1, RSC, MM, and A. The number and fraction of cells included for each analysis are reported in the figure legends. 933

934

Statistical Analysis

Bootstrap methods were used to compute standard errors and to perform statistical tests unless noted otherwise. The bootstrap methods were performed by resampling the pooled data with replacement 10⁴

times. For statistical tests on behavioral performance in the optogenetics experiments (Fig. 1g-m), n_{ai}

trials were pooled across sessions for each photostimulated area (a) (or control) and for each mouse (*i*) and bootstrapped to compute the weighted average performance P_a . For statistical tests on the activity

of individual cells (Figs. 3-4), cells were pooled across sessions and mice for the same imaged area and bootstrapped, unless noted otherwise. For statistical tests on the activity of simultaneously imaged populations (Fig. 5), cells were pooled on each session and bootstrapped.

944

952

We computed p-values for the difference across conditions as in two-tailed tests because the variable of interest could be larger or smaller across conditions (e.g., areas). Specifically, we computed the fraction of resampling that generated the statistic above (p_+) or below ($1-p_+$) the null hypothesis (zero difference). Then the smaller of the two fractions was multiplied by two to yield the p-value. The threshold for statistical significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$. The significance threshold was adjusted for multiple comparisons by Bonferroni correction, unless noted otherwise. The sample size (n), p-values, and statistical significance are reported in the Figure legends.

Choice Biases

Choice biases were measured for color (i.e., turns toward a black or white T-arm) and direction (i.e., turns toward a right or left T-arm) (Supplementary Fig. 1h). For each session, let $P_{X/Y}$ denote the task performance (% correct) on a trial type with X sample cue and Y test cue. Then, biases were measured by the following indices:

59 Color bias index:

$$\frac{(P_{B/WB} + P_{B/WB}) - (P_{W/BW} + P_{W/WB})}{(P_{B/WB} + P_{B/WB}) + (P_{W/BW} + P_{W/WB})}$$
(Eq. 1)

500

Direction bias index:

$$\frac{(P_{B/WB} + P_{W/BW}) - (P_{B/BW} + P_{W/WB})}{(P_{B/WB} + P_{W/BW}) + (P_{B/BW} + P_{W/WB})}$$
(Eq. 2)

962

Each index can range from 0 to 1, where 0 indicates no bias and 1 indicates that all choices were made for a particular color or direction. Because the mice in some sessions showed running direction biases based on the identity of the sample cue (Fig. 1d-e, Supplementary Fig. 1k) prior to the test cue onset, the mice could show different direction biases for different sample cues (e.g., turning more to the right on black sample cue trials and more to the left on white sample cue trials). To measure such a bias, we calculated the direction bias index conditioned on the sample cue (Supplementary Fig. 1h), which can also range from 0 to 1, as follows:

⁹⁷¹ Direction bias index conditioned on the same sample cue:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \frac{P_{B/WB} - P_{B/BW}}{P_{B/WB} + P_{B/BW}} \right| + \left| \frac{P_{W/BW} - P_{W/WB}}{P_{W/BW} + P_{W/WB}} \right| \right)$$
(Eq. 3)

974 Behavioral effects of optogenetics inhibition

Task performance (% correct) in Fig. 1g-m was computed by pooling behavioral results from all mice (n = 4 or 7 mice for inhibition throughout a trial or inhibition in specific segments, respectively). For given inhibition areas *a* (either a bilateral pair or area), we computed the task performance for each mouse, $P_{a,i}$, where *i* is an index for mice. Because the number of inhibition trials for give areas, $n_{a,i}$, was different across mice, the average performance across *m* mice was weighted based on the number of trials as follows :

 $P_{a} = \sum_{i}^{m} w_{a,i} \cdot P_{a,i}, \text{ where the weight for the } i\text{-th mouse was given by } w_{a,i} = n_{a,i} / \sum_{k}^{m} n_{a,k}. \text{ Similarly, the}$ Change in the task performance associated with the inhibition was computed by $\Delta P_{a} = \sum_{i}^{m} w_{a,i} \cdot (P_{a,i} - P_{control,i}), \text{ where } P_{a,i} \text{ and } P_{control,i} \text{ are the task performance during inhibition and}$

control trials for the *i*-th mouse, respectively.

Decoding task variables from running patterns

Task variables were decoded from running patterns of mice to assess whether and when they varied with task variables. The running velocity was measured around the three rotation axes and z-scored. For decoding of the sample cue in the delay segment, the sample cue identity (black or white) was decoded from the mean z-scored velocity during the last 10 frames (~350 ms) in the delay segment (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 1k). For decoding of choice in the test segment (Supplementary Fig. 1i-j), because the running patterns could depend on the sample cue identity prior to the test segment (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 1k), the choice (right or left) was decoded separately from trials with each identity of the sample cue. The choice for a given timepoint in the test segment was decoded from the mean z-scored velocity in the previous 10 frames (~350 ms), including frames from the delay segment.

Decoding was performed by first fitting a model using trials in a training set (80% of trials), and then predicting the task variable from the running patterns in trials in a test set (20% of trials), cycling through 5 splits of test/training sets. Because both the sample cue and choice were binary variables (A or B), the logit, $\log[P(A)/P(B)]$, was fitted by a weighted sum of the mean z-scored velocity by a logistic regression. Regularization and cross validation were used for fitting, following a similar procedure for fitting neural activity (see below). The identity of task variables was predicted for trials in the test set such that A was predicted if $\log[P(A)/P(B)] > 0$, and B was predicted otherwise. The decoding accuracy was the fraction of trials in which the predicted identity matched the observed identity in the experiment.

1005 Predicting choice from task variables and running patterns

The explanatory power of the task variable and running patterns on the mouse' choices was evaluated by a logistic regression. For Figure 1e, the probability of turning to choose the white side of the test cue, P_{white} , was fitted by the presented sample cue's identity, S, and decoded sample cue's identity, \hat{S} , based on the mouse's running patterns at the end of the delay segment as follows:

$$\log \frac{P_{white}}{1 - P_{white}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 S + \beta_2 \hat{S}$$
(Eq. 4)

1011

We sampled an equal number of white-chosen and black-chosen trials and used L2-regularization with 10-fold cross validation. If the mouse showed similar running patterns between trials with the black- and white-sample cues, β_1 would be larger than β_2 . In contrast, if the mouse showed variable but distinct running patterns that predicted the choices, as in the case of relying on the running mnemonic, β_2 would be larger than β_1 .

Calculation of the mean and normalized activity across cells

Deconvolved neural activity was first smoothed by a moving average of 10 frames (350 ms) and then aligned to the start and end of the sample segment, start and end of the delay segment, start of the test segment, and T-intersection. In each segment, neural activity was plotted for the first and last parts of the segment (sample segment: first 1.2 s and last 1.2 s, delay segment: first 0.3 s and last 0.3 s, test segment: first 2 s and last 1.5 s prior to T-intersection, T-arm: 1.5 s after the T-intersection). For each cell, neural activity was averaged across all trials, and then the mean activities for individual cells imaged in a specific area (across sessions and mice) were averaged to compute the mean activity for the area (Fig. 2c). For a plot of sequential activity across cells (Fig. 2d), on each cell, activity was averaged across trials and the average activity at each time point was divided by the peak average activity across analysis time windows. Cells were then sorted in the order of peak time. The sequence of activity was cross-validated by plotting activity on even-numbered trials sorted by peak time on odd-numbered trials. For the average activity of example cells (Fig. 2e-j, Supplementary Fig. 3), the activity was smoothed by running window of 350ms (10 imaging frames), aligned to the start and end of each segment, and averaged for each trial type separately for correct and error trials.

Generalized Linear Model

We used a generalized linear model (GLM) to model the time-dependent effects of experimentally measured variables, including those related to the task and the mouse's behavior, on the single trial activity of each neuron^{35,58,112,113}. Figure 2k illustrates our GLM in a schematic diagram. We used a Poisson GLM, whose output predicts a distribution of single neuron spike counts at each moment in trials.

1039

The objective of our GLM is to fit the model output about the distribution of spike counts to the observed distribution of a single cell activity. The mean spike count of the Poisson GLM, μ , was related to the weighted sum of predictors ($X\beta$) by an exponential link function $\mu = \exp(X\beta)$. We will describe below (i) the design of predictors (*X*), (ii) regularized fitting procedure to determine the weights (β coefficients), and (iii) evaluation of model performance based on the explanatory power.

- 1045
- 046 Predictors

The predictors were divided into the task variable set (124 or 248 predictors) and the running variable set (39 predictors).

The task variable set consisted of 8 subsets: offset (O), sample cue (S), test cue (T), choice (c), and the interactions between S, T, and C (S*T, T*C, S*C, S*T*C). S, T, and C were binary variables: +1 (or -1) was assigned to white (or black) for the sample cue, BW (or WB) for the test cue, and right turn (or left turn) for the choice (Fig. 2k). Note that the interaction S*T represents XOR on the sample cue identity and test cue identity and is equivalent to choice identity (C) on correct trials. Only the first 4 subsets (O, S, T, C) were used to fit correct trials in 4 trial types (4 conditions) in Figure 3. All 8 subsets were used to fit both correct and error trials in 4 trial types (2 x 4 = 8 conditions) in Figure 4. Because each cell tended to have activity in a specific part of the maze (Fig. 2d), each subset comprised 31 spatially tuned predictors that collectively covered the entire maze length, yielding 31 x 4 = 124 or 31 x 8 = 248 predictors for the task variable set. Similarly to a previous study ³⁵, the spatial tuning of each cell was expanded using raised cosine basis functions with a width of 40 cm,

1061

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} V \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \cos(2\pi \cdot \frac{(x - x_c)}{40}) \right], & \text{if } x_c - 20 < x < x_c + 20 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(Eq. 5)

1062

and its center peak was either positive (+1) or negative (-1) based on the identity of a binary task variable (V), which denotes either the sample cue, test cue, choice, or their interactions. The center peaks X_c were spaced with a 20 cm interval to tile the maze with a half-width overlap.

The running variable set consisted of 3 subsets corresponding to the roll, pitch, and yaw velocity. For each component of velocity, the velocity was z-scored and bounded from -3 to 3. We assumed that the movement selectivity was invariant across maze position, so that movement predictors are functions of the z-scored velocity (z), but not on the maze position. The shape of velocity tuning followed one cycle of a raised cosine function with a width of 1 in z-scored velocity,

1072

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \cos(2\pi \cdot (z - z_c)) \right], & \text{if } z_c - 0.5 < z < z_c + 0.5 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(Eq. 6)

1073

We included 13 predictors for each velocity component whose centers spanned from -3 to 3 with a spacing of 0.5 along z-scored velocity, yielding 13 x 3 = 39 predictors for the running variable set.

1076

1077 Regularized fitting procedure

For each imaging session, the GLM was fitted to the neural activity in 80% of the trials in the training set, whereas the data in the rest of the 20% of the trials were used to evaluate the fit quality and encoded information in individual cells (see below). Trials were divided into five subsets with the same number of trials per condition (defined by the combination of the sample cue, test cue, and choice; 2 x 2 x 2 = 8 conditions). Four subsets were used as the training set and the remaining one subset was used as the test set. We cycled through 5 splits of test/training set to evaluate all appropriate trials in the session.

Given the model output of the expected spike counts, $\mu = \exp(X\beta)$, the likelihood of observing spike counts, *r*, follows a Poisson distribution: $P(r|\mu) = \mu^r \exp(-\mu)/r!$. The weights $\beta = [\beta_0 ... \beta_M]$ were fitted to the data by minimizing the following objective function with glmnet package in R with elastic-net regularization¹¹⁴:

$$-\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[r_{i}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\beta}^{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{i})-\exp(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\beta}^{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_{i})\right]+\lambda\left[\alpha\sum_{j=1}^{M}|\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j}|+(1-\alpha)\sum_{j=1}^{M}\frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j}^{2}}{2}\right]$$
(Eq. 7)

1090

Here *i* is an index for the imaging frame, *N* is the total number of frames in the training data, *j* is an index for beta coefficients, and *M* is a total number of beta coefficients without β_0 (*M* = 124 or 248 for full model). r_i is the neural activity in the *i*-th frame calculated by the sum of estimated spike counts in neighboring 10 frames ([*i*-5 .. *i*+4] th frames spanning ~350 ms). x_i is a set of values (i.e., a vector) of *M* predictors for the *i*-th frame, β is a vector of *M* beta coefficients for the cell. We used L1-like regularization with α =0.95, which tends to explain responses with a smaller number of large beta coefficients (as opposed to a large number of small beta coefficients for L2 regularization with α ≈0).

The regularization parameter, λ , sets the tradeoff between the fitting error (the first term in Eq 7) and the model complexity (the second term in Eq 7). The value of λ was chosen from a set of λ values by 10 fold cross validation, where each fold had an equal number of trials per condition (as in the training/test splits described above). For each value of λ , we computed 10 instances of the fitting error (deviance) from the cross validation and computed their mean, μ_{λ} , and standard deviation, σ_{λ} (i.e., standard error of the deviance). We found the λ_{\min} that produced the minimum deviance $\mu_{\lambda\min}$, and λ_{1se} that produced the most regularized model whose mean deviance was within one standard error from the minimum deviance, $\underset{\lambda \in [\lambda \min, \infty]}{\max} (\mu_{\lambda} < \mu_{\lambda\min} + \sigma_{\lambda\min})$. We used λ_{1se} to fit the model to all trials in the training set, and obtained

1109

Because the number of correct trials was greater than error trials, the imbalance in the number of trials could bias the fitting procedure to depend more on correct trials than on error trials. To compensate for

this imbalance, we adjusted the weight of each trial during the fit. Specifically, on each trial with a specific

fitted beta coefficients, β_{1se} , which were used to predict responses for trials in the test set and to evaluate information for task variables (Figs. 3-4, see below).

trial type and trial outcome (correct or error), the fitting error of that trial was multiplied by the inverse
 of the total number of trials with the same trial type and outcome during the session. Results were
 qualitatively consistent with and without this balancing for differences in trial numbers.

1116

117 Evaluation of model performance

The model performance was evaluated by the fraction of the deviance explained (FDE) on the test data set (Fig. 2I-m). FDE quantifies the performance of the fitted GLM on the test data with respect to the *null* and *saturated* (*sat*) models. For each time point in the test data, the null model predicts the same expected activity, which is given by the activity of a cell averaged across all time points in the training data. In contrast, the saturated model predicts the expected activity that exactly matches the observed activity at each time point in the test data. Let L_{GLM} , L_{null} , and L_{sat} be the likelihood of observing the test data given the GLM, null, and saturated model, respectively. Then, the deviance (*D*) and the FDE are defined as follows.

1126

$$\begin{bmatrix}
D_{null} = 2(L_{sat} - L_{null}) \\
D_{GLM} = 2(L_{sat} - L_{GLM})
\end{bmatrix}$$
(Eq. 8)

1127

$$FDE = \frac{D_{null} - D_{GLM}}{D_{null}}$$

$$= \frac{L_{GLM} - L_{null}}{L_{sat} - L_{null}}$$
(Eq. 9)

1128

Thus, FDE =1 indicates that the model prediction was as good as the saturated model, whereas FDE = 0 indicates that the model prediction was as poor as the null model.

132 Single cell information

We used the GLM fitted to the training data to compute the single-trial information for each cell about each task variable in each trial in the test data. We first describe the derivation of sample cue information below, and this derivation extends easily to test cue information and XOR information. Given that the activity of a cell at time *t* in a given trial had a value of *r*(t), we used the Poisson GLM to compute the likelihood that the observed activity was generated either in the presence of a white sample cue (S = +1) or a black sample cue (S = -1). Then, the single-trial information was computed as the log likelihood ratio (logLR)^{61,62,115}:

1140

$$\log \frac{P(r(t) | S = 1)}{P(r(t) | S = -1)}$$
(Eq. 10)

1141

The logLR monotonically varies with the likelihood ratio, its sign indicates whether white (positive) or black (negative) was more likely, and its magnitude (absolute value) quantifies its informativeness. Because the

running patterns of the mice can covary with the task variable identities (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 1k) and influence the neural activity^{18,59,116}, we controlled for running variability to derive "genuine" sample cue information by computing the log likelihood also conditioned on the running velocity as follows.

114

$$\log \frac{P(r(t) | S = 1, V(t))}{P(r(t) | S = -1, V(t))}$$
(Eq. 11)

1148

1149

To compute the likelihood of the given sample cue, we marginalized over all possible combinations of the remaining task variables (i.e., test cue and choice in this case).

$$P(r(t)|S=1,V(t)) = \sum_{T=-1,1} P(r(t)|S=1,T,C,V(t)) \cdot P(T,C|S=1,V(t))$$

$$\approx \sum_{T=-1,1} P(r(t)|S=1,T,C,V(t)) \cdot P(T,C|S=1)$$

$$= P(r|S=1,T=-1,C=-1) \cdot \frac{P_c}{2} + P(r|S=1,T=-1,C=1) \cdot \frac{P_c}{2} + P(r|S=1,T=1,C=-1) \cdot \frac{P_c}{2} + P(r|S=1,T=1,C=1) \cdot \frac{P_c}{2} + \frac{P(r|S=1,T=1,C=1) \cdot \frac{P_c}{2}}{2}$$
(Eq. 12)

1152

Note that P(T,C|S=1) was approximated by Pc/2 or Pe/2, where the *Pc* and *Pe* denote the proportion of correct trials and that of error trials, respectively.

In a similar fashion, test cue information was computed as:

1158

$$\log \frac{P(r(t) \mid T = 1, V(t))}{P(r(t) \mid T = -1, V(t))} = \log \frac{\sum_{s \in C} P(r(t) \mid S, T = 1, C, V(t)) \cdot P(S, C \mid T = 1, V(t))}{\sum_{s \in C} P(r(t) \mid S, T = -1, C, V(t)) \cdot P(S, C \mid T = -1, V(t))}$$
(Eq. 13)

1159

1164

For logLR of XOR, the likelihood was marginalized over the combinations of the sample cue and test cue that were associated with the same direction of reward assignment (e.g., XOR = 1 consists of [S=1, T=1] and [S=-1, T=-1]). Thus, its logLR was calculated as follows.

$$\log \frac{P(r(t) \mid XOR = 1, V(t))}{P(r(t) \mid XOR = -1, V(t))} = \log \frac{\sum_{\substack{|S,T|\in[1,1]-|S|||S|}{2}} \sum_{c} P(r(t) \mid S, T, C, V(t)) \cdot P(C \mid S, T, V(t))}{\sum_{\substack{|S,T|\in[1,1]-|S|||S|}{2}} \sum_{c} P(r(t) \mid S, T, C, V(t)) \cdot P(C \mid S, T, V(t))} = \log \frac{P(r(t) \mid S, T, C, V(t)) \cdot P(C \mid S, T, V(t))}{\sum_{\substack{|S,T|\in[1,1]-|S|||S|}{2}} P(r(t) \mid S, T, C, V(t)) \cdot P(C \mid S, T, V(t))}} = \log \frac{P(r(t) \mid S, T, C, V(t)) \cdot P(C \mid S, T, C, V(t)) \cdot P(C \mid S, T, C, V(t))}{P(T \mid S, T, C, V(t))} = \log \frac{P(r(t) \mid S = 1, T = 1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2 + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2 + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2 + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2 + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2 + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2 + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2 + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t)) \cdot P_{C}/2} + P(r(t) \mid S = -1, T = -1, C = -1, V(t))$$

1165

Note that the logLR is a signed value, where its sign indicates whether the activity supports one identity of the task variables or the other. For example, cells with the sample cue selectivity tend to show positive logLR for trials with S = +1 (white sample cue) and negative logLR for trials with S = -1 (black sample cue). As a result, if we simply average the logLR across all trials, they would cancel out and fail to detect the sample cue information. Therefore, we adjusted the sign of logLR, so that a positive logLR indicated that

the neural activity correctly encoded the task variable identity observed in the trial. In contrast, a negative logLR indicated incorrect encoding of the task variable identity. Specifically, when the observed task variable identity was -1 in Equations 11-14, we multiplied the logLR by -1. In the results, we used the signadjusted logLR (Figs. 3-5, Supplementary Figs. 4-6).

1175

76 Population information and decoding

Population information was derived similarly to single cell information, except that a single cell activity r(t) was replaced by a vector of population activity, $\mathbf{r}(t)$, which was imaged simultaneously on each session within a given field-of-view (~0.5 mm² area). Under the assumption of conditionally independent noise across cells, the likelihood of observing a population activity $\mathbf{r}(t)$ was calculated as follows:

$$P(\mathbf{r}(t) | S, T, C, V(t)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(r_i(t) | S, T, C, V(t))$$
(Eq. 15)

1182

where *i* is an index for a cell in a population of *n* cells. Combining Equations 14 and 15 yields population XOR information as follows:

1185



- 1186
- 1187
- 1188

The population information was derived using all cells in each imaging sessions (Fig. 5), or a subpopulation with the same number of cells (n = 100 cells, randomly sampled from the population, Supplementary Fig. 5).

The identity of the task variable was decoded from population activity based on the sign of population information (logLR). When logLR was zero, the decoded identity was randomly assigned. On each session, the accuracy of the population coding was evaluated by mutual information between the true and decoded identity of the task variable. The weighted average of mutual information was reported by averaging across sessions with weights proportional to the number of trials on the sessions (Fig. 5b,d, Supplementary Figs. 5a,c, 6d).

1199

Signal and noise correlation

For each pair of cells recorded simultaneously, the signal correlation was quantified by Pearson's correlation on their mean neural activity across four trial types. To compute the noise correlation for each pair, the *residual* neural activity was derived for each cell on each trial type, by subtracting the mean activity on each trial type from the observed activity on that trial type. The noise correlation was quantified by Pearson's correlation on the residual activity of the pair of neurons combined across all trials in all trial types. To evaluate the effect of noise correlation on the accuracy of the population code, the noise correlation was disrupted by shuffling the trial identity within each trial type separately for correct and error trials. Trial shuffling was repeated 10,000 times to estimate the standard error of decoding accuracy.

1210

1211 Simulation of population activity

To compare the efficiency and accuracy of encoded information between a population of mixed selectivity cells and a population of pure selectivity cells, we generated population activity by Monte-Carlo simulation and analyzed the simulated data similarly to the real imaging data. The number of spikes 1214 generated by each cell follows a Poisson distribution with the mean λ . Each cell responds to a preferred cue (or a preferred combination of cues) with the mean of λ_{pref} and to an unpreferred cue with the mean of λ_{unpref} , where λ_{pref} is greater than λ_{unpref} by design. The minimum unit population size was a set of four cells, each of which preferentially responds to a specific trial type in the mixed selectivity population, or 1218 to a specific sample cue or test cue identity in the pure selectivity population (Supplementary Fig. 7a). In our simulation, we varied the population size by adding N sets of the unit population and varied the level of noise correlations across cells. Because the noise correlations were mostly observed for cells with a higher signal correlation (Supplementary Fig. 6a-c), the simulation included positive noise correlation only between cells that shared the same selectivity. To introduce noise correlations across n cells, our simulation first generated a set of n Gaussian-distributed random variables with an n-by-n correlation matrix using compularnd.m in MATLAB (MathWorks), and then correlated Poisson-distributed random variables were generated by the inverse CDF sampling technique¹¹⁷ using poissinv.m in MATLAB (MathWorks). Population decoding was performed by following the decoding method for the real imaging data as described above. The total of 10,000 trials were simulated 10,000 times for each set of free parameters to estimate the mean and standard error of the decoding accuracy.

1231 Analyses conditioned on the cue information

To evaluate the ratio of information for the sample cue vs. test cue across individual cells, the two types of information represented in the cartesian coordinates (Figs. 3g, 4h) were converted to polar coordinates (Figs. 3h-I, 4i-j). For the analyses that are conditioned on the polar angle (Figs. 3h-I, 4i-j, 5, Supplementary Figs. 4-6), cells with zero or noise-level information ($|logLR| < \varepsilon$) were excluded from the analyses because their angle could not be reliably estimated. The noise level ε was set to 0.01. The skewness of the angle distribution of cells was calculated without cells with extreme angles (the highest and lowest 1% of cells) because the skewness is sensitive to outliers. To show the angle distribution of cells, histograms were created by discretizing the angles into 7 bins (Figs. 3i, 4i). Bins for cells with only sample cue information or test cue information ($\theta = 0^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$) included cells within a chance-level deviation from the two axes. The chance-level deviation was logLR of 0.017 for V1, 0.007 for RSC, and 0.005 for MM, inferred for

each cortical area by one standard deviation of the test cue information averaged across the last 1 s (30 frames) in the sample segment (i.e., prior to the appearance of the test cue). For the evaluation of population information (Fig. 5, Supplementary Fig. 5-6), cells in the bins for $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ with less than the chance-level magnitude of XOR information (Fig. 4i, correct trials) were defined to have pure selectivity to the sample cue and test cue, respectively, whereas cells with 15 < θ < 75 (Fig. 4h, correct trials) were defined to have mixed selectivity. Similarly, pure XOR selective cells were defined to have XOR information greater than logLR of 0.01 and less than the chance-level magnitude of the sample and test cue information (Supplementary Fig. 4i-j).

1250

Comparison of XOR information for correct vs. error trials

We tested whether XOR information between correct and error trials was different conditioned on the same amount of preexisting sample cue information (Fig. 4k). Trials were binned according to their sample cue information at the end of the delay segment (bin size = 0.01 logLR). The mean XOR information for

correct and error trials was calculated by averaging over trials within a bin, $\overline{I}_{\{XOR,cor\}} = \frac{1}{Nc} \sum_{i}^{Nc} I_{i,\{XOR,cor\}}$

and $\overline{I}_{\{XOR,err\}} = \frac{1}{Ne} \sum_{j}^{Ne} I_{j,\{XOR,err\}}$, where *Nc* and *Ne* are the number of correct and error trials within the

bin (combined across sessions), respectively; $I_{i,\{XOR,cor\}}$ and $I_{j,\{XOR,err\}}$ are the mean XOR information across all cells in a session for the *i*-th correct and *j*-th error trials within the bin, respectively. $I_{\{XOR,cor\}}$ and $I_{\{XOR,err\}}$ were computed 10⁴ times by bootstrapping on trials, and the statistical significance of their difference was tested against a null hypothesis $I_{\{XOR,cor\}} = I_{\{XOR,err\}}$. For comparison of two areas (A and B), we tested whether area A showed a larger difference in XOR information between correct vs. error trials than area B. $\Delta I_{\{XOR\}} = I_{\{XOR,err\}} - I_{\{XOR,cor\}}$ was computed for the two areas A and B, denoted as $\Delta I_{A,\{XOR\}}$ and $\Delta I_{B,\{XOR\}}$. The statistical significance of their difference was tested against a null hypothesis $\Delta I_{A,\{XOR\}} = \Delta I_{B,\{XOR\}}$ by bootstrapping on trials.

56 Sparsity index

For a model-free evaluation of the neural selectivity to a specific trial type, the sparsity index was calculated for individual cells (Fig. 3k, Supplementary Fig. 4g). Let $\mathbf{r} = [r_1 ... r_4]$ denote the estimated spike counts averaged across trials within each of the 4 trial types. Then, \mathbf{r} was multiplied by a normalization

factor, $k = 4 / \sum_{i=1}^{7} r_i$, so that the average of $[kr_1 ... kr_4]$ was 1 (and the sum was 4). The sparsity index was

defined as the maximum of the normalized activity:

$$SI = max([kr_1, kr_2, kr_3, kr_4])$$
(Eq 17)

The index ranged from 1 to 4 as shown for example activity patterns in Figure 3m. The minimum index of 1 was assigned to cells that showed the same average activity across the four trial types (i.e., no trial type selectivity). The maximum index of 4 was assigned to cells that showed activity only for a single trial type. To compute the sparsity index for the test segment, the neural activity r_i was calculated from the mean

estimated spike counts during the first 1 s (30 imaging frames) in the test segment on correct trials.

Nonlinearity index

For evaluation of nonlinear mixing of information (or nonlinear mixed selectivity), the nonlinearity index was calculated for individual cells (Fig. 3I, Supplementary Fig. 4h). Let $r_{X/Y}$ denote the neural activity for the trial type with X sample cue and Y test cue. If a cell has linear mixed selectivity to sample cue and test cue, the activity differences associated with the different test cues are the same regardless of the sample cue ($r_{B/BW} - r_{B/WB} = r_{W/BW} - r_{W/WB}$). In contrast, if a cell has nonlinear mixed selectivity, these differences are not equal. To quantify the degree of nonlinearity, the nonlinearity index (NI) was defined as:

(Eq 18)

1288

NI = $|(kr_{B/BW} - kr_{B/WB}) - (kr_{W/BW} - kr_{W/WB})|$

where *k* is the normalization factor used for the sparsity index (see above). The index ranged from 0 to 4 as shown for example activity patterns in Figure 3m. The minimum index of 0 was assigned to cells that showed no trial type selectivity, pure selectivity, or linear mixed selectivity (top three panels in Fig. 3m). The maximum index of 4 was assigned to cells that showed no activity for left-choice trials ($r_{B/BW} = 0$ and $r_{W/WB} = 0$) or no activity for right-choice trials ($r_{B/WB} = 0$ and $r_{W/BW} = 0$), including cells that showed activity only on a single trial type. To compute the nonlinearity index for the test segment, the neural activity, $r_{X/Y}$, was calculated from the mean estimated spike counts during the first 1 s (30 imaging frames) in the test segment on correct trials.

References

- 1. Cisek, P. Resynthesizing behavior through phylogenetic refinement. Atten Percept Psychophys 81, 2265-2287 (2019).
- Ramus, S.J. & Eichenbaum, H. Neural correlates of olfactory recognition memory in the rat orbitofrontal cortex. J Neurosci 20, 8199-8208 (2000).
- 3. Wu, Z., et al. Context-Dependent Decision Making in a Premotor Circuit. Neuron 106, 316-328 (2020).
- 4. Condylis, C., *et al.* Context-Dependent Sensory Processing across Primary and Secondary Somatosensory Cortex. *Neuron* **106**, 515-525 (2020).
- 5. Freedman, D.J. & Assad, J.A. Experience-dependent representation of visual categories in parietal cortex. *Nature* **443**, 85-88 (2006).
- 6. Miller, E.K. & Desimone, R. Parallel neuronal mechanisms for short-term memory. Science 263, 520-522 (1994).
- 7. Bennur, S. & Gold, J.I. Distinct representations of a perceptual decision and the associated oculomotor plan in the monkey lateral intraparietal area. *J Neurosci* **31**, 913-921 (2011).
- 8. Pagan, M., Urban, L.S., Wohl, M.P. & Rust, N.C. Signals in inferotemporal and perirhinal cortex suggest an untangling of visual target information. *Nat. Neurosci.* **16**, 1132-1139 (2013).
- 9. Rossi-Pool, R., *et al.* Decoding a Decision Process in the Neuronal Population of Dorsal Premotor Cortex. *Neuron* **96**, 1432-1446 (2017).
- 10. Mante, V., Sussillo, D., Shenoy, K.V. & Newsome, W.T. Context-dependent computation by recurrent dynamics in prefrontal cortex. *Nature* **503**, 78-84 (2013).
- 11. Wimmer, R.D., et al. Thalamic control of sensory selection in divided attention. Nature 526, 705-709 (2015).
- 12. Banerjee, A., et al. Value-guided remapping of sensory cortex by lateral orbitofrontal cortex. Nature 585, 245-250 (2020).
- 13. Reinert, S., Hubener, M., Bonhoeffer, T. & Goltstein, P.M. Mouse prefrontal cortex represents learned rules for categorization. *Nature* **593**, 411-417 (2021).
- 14. Duan, C.A., Erlich, J.C. & Brody, C.D. Requirement of Prefrontal and Midbrain Regions for Rapid Executive Control of Behavior in the Rat. *Neuron* **86**, 1491-1503 (2015).
- 15. Duan, C.A., et al. Collicular circuits for flexible sensorimotor routing. Nat. Neurosci. (2021).
- Moser, E.I., Kropff, E. & Moser, M.B. Place cells, grid cells, and the brain's spatial representation system. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 31, 69-89 (2008).
- 17. Bicanski, A. & Burgess, N. Neuronal vector coding in spatial cognition. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 21, 453-470 (2020).
- 18. Whitlock, J.R., Pfuhl, G., Dagslott, N., Moser, M.B. & Moser, E.I. Functional split between parietal and entorhinal cortices in the rat. *Neuron* **73**, 789-802 (2012).
- 19. Nitz, D.A. Tracking route progression in the posterior parietal cortex. Neuron 49, 747-756 (2006).
- Clark, B.J., Simmons, C.M., Berkowitz, L.E. & Wilber, A.A. The retrosplenial-parietal network and reference frame coordination for spatial navigation. *Behav. Neurosci.* 132, 416-429 (2018).
- 21. Nitz, D.A. Spaces within spaces: rat parietal cortex neurons register position across three reference frames. *Nat. Neurosci.* **15**, 1365-1367 (2012).
- 22. Krumin, M., Lee, J.J., Harris, K.D. & Carandini, M. Decision and navigation in mouse parietal cortex. eLife 7 (2018).
- 23. Chen, L.L., Lin, L.H., Green, E.J., Barnes, C.A. & McNaughton, B.L. Head-direction cells in the rat posterior cortex. I. Anatomical distribution and behavioral modulation. *Exp. Brain Res.* **101**, 8-23 (1994).
- 24. Alexander, A.S. & Nitz, D.A. Retrosplenial cortex maps the conjunction of internal and external spaces. *Nat. Neurosci.* **18**, 1143-1151 (2015).
- 25. Alexander, A.S. & Nitz, D.A. Spatially Periodic Activation Patterns of Retrosplenial Cortex Encode Route Sub-spaces and Distance Traveled. *Curr. Biol.* 27, 1551-1560 (2017).
- 26. McNaughton, B.L., *et al.* Cortical representation of motion during unrestrained spatial navigation in the rat. *Cereb. Cortex* **4**, 27-39 (1994).
- Cho, J. & Sharp, P.E. Head direction, place, and movement correlates for cells in the rat retrosplenial cortex. *Behav. Neurosci.* 115, 3-25 (2001).
- 28. Wilber, A.A., Clark, B.J., Forster, T.C., Tatsuno, M. & McNaughton, B.L. Interaction of egocentric and world-centered reference frames in the rat posterior parietal cortex. *J Neurosci* **34**, 5431-5446 (2014).
- 29. Alexander, A.S., et al. Egocentric boundary vector tuning of the retrosplenial cortex. Sci Adv 6, eaaz2322 (2020).
- 30. Keshavarzi, S., et al. Multisensory coding of angular head velocity in the retrosplenial cortex. Neuron (2021).

- 31. Saleem, A.B., Diamanti, E.M., Fournier, J., Harris, K.D. & Carandini, M. Coherent encoding of subjective spatial position in visual cortex and hippocampus. *Nature* 562, 124-127 (2018).
- 32. Diamanti, E.M., et al. Spatial modulation of visual signals arises in cortex with active navigation. bioRxiv (2020).
- 33. Harvey, C.D., Coen, P. & Tank, D.W. Choice-specific sequences in parietal cortex during a virtual-navigation decision task. *Nature* **484**, 62-68 (2012).
- 34. Morcos, A.S. & Harvey, C.D. History-dependent variability in population dynamics during evidence accumulation in cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* **19**, 1672-1681 (2016).
- Runyan, C.A., Piasini, E., Panzeri, S. & Harvey, C.D. Distinct timescales of population coding across cortex. *Nature* 548, 92-96 (2017).
- 36. Koay, S.A., Thiberge, S., Brody, C.D. & Tank, D.W. Amplitude modulations of cortical sensory responses in pulsatile evidence accumulation. *eLife* **9** (2020).
- 37. Koay, S.A., Charles, A.S., Thiberge, S.Y., Brody, C.D. & Tank, D.W. Sequential and efficient neural-population coding of complex task information. *Neuron* (2021).
- Franco, L.M. & Goard, M.J. A distributed circuit for associating environmental context with motor choice in retrosplenial cortex. Sci Adv 7 (2021).
- 39. Pinto, L., et al. Task-Dependent Changes in the Large-Scale Dynamics and Necessity of Cortical Regions. Neuron **104**, 810-824 (2019).
- 40. Pinto, L., et al. An Accumulation-of-Evidence Task Using Visual Pulses for Mice Navigating in Virtual Reality. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 12, 36 (2018).
- 41. Driscoll, L.N., Pettit, N.L., Minderer, M., Chettih, S.N. & Harvey, C.D. Dynamic Reorganization of Neuronal Activity Patterns in Parietal Cortex. *Cell* **170**, 986-999 e916 (2017).
- 42. Cowansage, K.K., et al. Direct reactivation of a coherent neocortical memory of context. Neuron 84, 432-441 (2014).
- Keene, C.S. & Bucci, D.J. Contributions of the retrosplenial and posterior parietal cortices to cue-specific and contextual fear conditioning. *Behav. Neurosci.* 122, 89-97 (2008).
- 44. Keene, C.S. & Bucci, D.J. Neurotoxic lesions of retrosplenial cortex disrupt signaled and unsignaled contextual fear conditioning. *Behav. Neurosci.* **122**, 1070-1077 (2008).
- 45. Freedman, D.J., Riesenhuber, M., Poggio, T. & Miller, E.K. Categorical representation of visual stimuli in the primate prefrontal cortex. *Science* **291**, 312-316 (2001).
- Daniel, T.A., Katz, J.S. & Robinson, J.L. Delayed match-to-sample in working memory: A BrainMap meta-analysis. *Biol. Psychol.* 120, 10-20 (2016).
- 47. Guo, Z.V., et al. Flow of cortical activity underlying a tactile decision in mice. Neuron 81, 179-194 (2014).
- 48. Li, N., et al. Spatiotemporal constraints on optogenetic inactivation in cortical circuits. eLife 8 (2019).
- 49. Masse, N.Y., Rosen, M.C. & Freedman, D.J. Reevaluating the Role of Persistent Neural Activity in Short-Term Memory. *Trends in cognitive sciences* 24, 242-258 (2020).
- 50. Mongillo, G., Barak, O. & Tsodyks, M. Synaptic theory of working memory. Science 319, 1543-1546 (2008).
- 51. Masse, N.Y., Yang, G.R., Song, H.F., Wang, X.J. & Freedman, D.J. Circuit mechanisms for the maintenance and manipulation of information in working memory. *Nat. Neurosci.* **22**, 1159-1167 (2019).
- 52. Vann, S.D. & Aggleton, J.P. Extensive cytotoxic lesions of the rat retrosplenial cortex reveal consistent deficits on tasks that tax allocentric spatial memory. *Behav. Neurosci.* **116**, 85-94 (2002).
- 53. Vann, S.D. & Aggleton, J.P. Testing the importance of the retrosplenial guidance system: effects of different sized retrosplenial cortex lesions on heading direction and spatial working memory. *Behav. Brain Res.* **155**, 97-108 (2004).
- Minderer, M., Brown, K.D. & Harvey, C.D. The Spatial Structure of Neural Encoding in Mouse Posterior Cortex during Navigation. *Neuron* 102, 232-248 (2019).
- 55. Gamanut, R., et al. The Mouse Cortical Connectome, Characterized by an Ultra-Dense Cortical Graph, Maintains Specificity by Distinct Connectivity Profiles. *Neuron* **97**, 698-715 (2018).
- 56. Wang, Q., et al. The Allen Mouse Brain Common Coordinate Framework: A 3D Reference Atlas. Cell 181, 936-953 (2020).
- 57. Wang, Q. & Burkhalter, A. Area map of mouse visual cortex. J. Comp. Neurol. 502, 339-357 (2007).
- Park, I.M., Meister, M.L., Huk, A.C. & Pillow, J.W. Encoding and decoding in parietal cortex during sensorimotor decision-making. Nat. Neurosci. 17, 1395-1403 (2014).
- Musall, S., Kaufman, M.T., Juavinett, A.L., Gluf, S. & Churchland, A.K. Single-trial neural dynamics are dominated by richly varied movements. *Nat. Neurosci.* 22, 1677-1686 (2019).

- 60. Stringer, C., et al. Spontaneous behaviors drive multidimensional, brainwide activity. Science 364, 255 (2019).
- 61. Gold, J.I. & Shadlen, M.N. Neural computations that underlie decisions about sensory stimuli. *Trends in cognitive sciences* **5**, 10-16 (2001).
- 62. Gold, J.I. & Shadlen, M.N. The neural basis of decision making. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 30, 535-574 (2007).
- 63. Rigotti, M., Ben Dayan Rubin, D., Wang, X.J. & Fusi, S. Internal representation of task rules by recurrent dynamics: the importance of the diversity of neural responses. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* **4**, 24 (2010).
- 64. Rigotti, M., et al. The importance of mixed selectivity in complex cognitive tasks. Nature 497, 585-590 (2013).
- Panzeri, S., Harvey, C.D., Piasini, E., Latham, P.E. & Fellin, T. Cracking the Neural Code for Sensory Perception by Combining Statistics, Intervention, and Behavior. Neuron 93, 491-507 (2017).
- Britten, K.H., Newsome, W.T., Shadlen, M.N., Celebrini, S. & Movshon, J.A. A relationship between behavioral choice and the visual responses of neurons in macaque MT. *Vis. Neurosci.* 13, 87-100 (1996).
- 67. Gidon, A., et al. Dendritic action potentials and computation in human layer 2/3 cortical neurons. Science 367, 83-87 (2020).
- 68. London, M. & Hausser, M. Dendritic computation. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 28, 503-532 (2005).
- 69. Shannon, C.E. A Mathematical Theory of Communication. Bell System Technical Journal 27, 379-423 (1948).
- 70. Hanks, T.D., *et al.* Distinct relationships of parietal and prefrontal cortices to evidence accumulation. *Nature* **520**, 220-223 (2015).
- Raposo, D., Kaufman, M.T. & Churchland, A.K. A category-free neural population supports evolving demands during decision-making. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 1784-1792 (2014).
- 72. Zhou, Y. & Freedman, D.J. Posterior parietal cortex plays a causal role in perceptual and categorical decisions. *Science* **365**, 180-185 (2019).
- 73. Goard, M.J., Pho, G.N., Woodson, J. & Sur, M. Distinct roles of visual, parietal, and frontal motor cortices in memory-guided sensorimotor decisions. *eLife* **5** (2016).
- 74. Scott, B.B., et al. Fronto-parietal Cortical Circuits Encode Accumulated Evidence with a Diversity of Timescales. Neuron 95, 385-398 (2017).
- 75. Akrami, A., Kopec, C.D., Diamond, M.E. & Brody, C.D. Posterior parietal cortex represents sensory history and mediates its effects on behaviour. *Nature* 554, 368-372 (2018).
- Chen, L.L., Lin, L.H., Barnes, C.A. & McNaughton, B.L. Head-direction cells in the rat posterior cortex. II. Contributions of visual and ideothetic information to the directional firing. *Exp. Brain Res.* **101**, 24-34 (1994).
- 77. Jacob, P.Y., et al. An independent, landmark-dominated head-direction signal in dysgranular retrosplenial cortex. Nat. Neurosci. 20, 173-175 (2017).
- 78. Lozano, Y.R., et al. Retrosplenial and postsubicular head direction cells compared during visual landmark discrimination. Brain Neurosci Adv 1, 2398212817721859 (2017).
- 79. Todd, T.P., Meyer, H.C. & Bucci, D.J. Contribution of the retrosplenial cortex to temporal discrimination learning. *Hippocampus* **25**, 137-141 (2015).
- 80. Powell, A.L., et al. The retrosplenial cortex and object recency memory in the rat. Eur. J. Neurosci. 45, 1451-1464 (2017).
- Hattori, R., Danskin, B., Babic, Z., Mlynaryk, N. & Komiyama, T. Area-Specificity and Plasticity of History-Dependent Value Coding During Learning. *Cell* 177, 1858-1872 (2019).
- 82. Hattori, R. & Komiyama, T. Context-dependent persistency as a coding mechanism for robust and widely distributed value coding. *Neuron* (2021).
- Vogt, B.A. & Miller, M.W. Cortical connections between rat cingulate cortex and visual, motor, and postsubicular cortices. J. Comp. Neurol. 216, 192-210 (1983).
- 84. Van Groen, T. & Wyss, J.M. Connections of the retrosplenial granular b cortex in the rat. J. Comp. Neurol. 463, 249-263 (2003).
- 85. van Groen, T. & Wyss, J.M. Connections of the retrosplenial granular a cortex in the rat. J. Comp. Neurol. 300, 593-606 (1990).
- 86. Zingg, B., et al. Neural networks of the mouse neocortex. Cell 156, 1096-1111 (2014).
- Deshmukh, S.S. & Knierim, J.J. Influence of local objects on hippocampal representations: Landmark vectors and memory. *Hippocampus* 23, 253-267 (2013).
- Niell, C.M. & Stryker, M.P. Modulation of visual responses by behavioral state in mouse visual cortex. *Neuron* 65, 472-479 (2010).
- 89. Velez-Fort, M., et al. A Circuit for Integration of Head- and Visual-Motion Signals in Layer 6 of Mouse Primary Visual Cortex. Neuron **98**, 179-191 e176 (2018).

- Poort, J., et al. Learning Enhances Sensory and Multiple Non-sensory Representations in Primary Visual Cortex. Neuron 86, 1478-1490 (2015).
- 91. Johnston, W.J., Palmer, S.E. & Freedman, D.J. Nonlinear mixed selectivity supports reliable neural computation. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **16**, e1007544 (2020).
- Asaad, W.F., Rainer, G. & Miller, E.K. Neural activity in the primate prefrontal cortex during associative learning. *Neuron* 21, 1399-1407 (1998).
- 93. Ranganathan, G.N., et al. Active dendritic integration and mixed neocortical network representations during an adaptive sensing behavior. Nat. Neurosci. 21, 1583-1590 (2018).
- 94. Peron, S., et al. Recurrent interactions in local cortical circuits. Nature 579, 256-259 (2020).
- 95. Mardinly, A.R., et al. Precise multimodal optical control of neural ensemble activity. Nat. Neurosci. 21, 881-893 (2018).
- 96. Marshel, J.H., et al. Cortical layer-specific critical dynamics triggering perception. Science 365 (2019).
- Carrillo-Reid, L., Han, S., Yang, W., Akrouh, A. & Yuste, R. Controlling Visually Guided Behavior by Holographic Recalling of Cortical Ensembles. *Cell* 178, 447-457 (2019).
- Zhang, Z., Russell, L.E., Packer, A.M., Gauld, O.M. & Hausser, M. Closed-loop all-optical interrogation of neural circuits in vivo. Nat Methods 15, 1037-1040 (2018).
- Daie, K., Svoboda, K. & Druckmann, S. Targeted photostimulation uncovers circuit motifs supporting short-term memory. Nat. Neurosci. 24, 259-265 (2021).
- 100. Aronov, D. & Tank, D.W. Engagement of neural circuits underlying 2D spatial navigation in a rodent virtual reality system. *Neuron* **84**, 442-456 (2014).
- 101. Wald, A. Sequential Analysis (Wiley, 1947).
- 102. Wald, A. & Wolfowitz, J. Optimum Character of the Sequential Probability Ratio Test. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **19**, 326-339 (1948).
- 103. Ratzlaff, E.H. & Grinvald, A. A tandem-lens epifluorescence macroscope: hundred-fold brightness advantage for wide-field imaging. *J. Neurosci. Methods* **36**, 127-137 (1991).
- 104. Marshel, J.H., Garrett, M.E., Nauhaus, I. & Callaway, E.M. Functional specialization of seven mouse visual cortical areas. *Neuron* **72**, 1040-1054 (2011).
- 105. Kalatsky, V.A. & Stryker, M.P. New paradigm for optical imaging: temporally encoded maps of intrinsic signal. *Neuron* **38**, 529-545 (2003).
- 106. Sereno, M.I., McDonald, C.T. & Allman, J.M. Analysis of retinotopic maps in extrastriate cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 4, 601-620 (1994).
- 107. Zhuang, J., et al. An extended retinotopic map of mouse cortex. eLife 6 (2017).
- 108. Guizar-Sicairos, M., Thurman, S.T. & Fienup, J.R. Efficient subpixel image registration algorithms. Opt Lett 33, 156-158 (2008).
- 109. Greenberg, D.S. & Kerr, J.N. Automated correction of fast motion artifacts for two-photon imaging of awake animals. J. *Neurosci. Methods* **176**, 1-15 (2009).
- 110. Pachitariu, M., et al. Suite2p: beyond 10,000 neurons with standard two-photon microscopy. bioRxiv, 061507 (2017).
- 111. Friedrich, J., Zhou, P. & Paninski, L. Fast online deconvolution of calcium imaging data. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **13**, e1005423 (2017).
- 112. Pillow, J.W., et al. Spatio-temporal correlations and visual signalling in a complete neuronal population. *Nature* **454**, 995-999 (2008).
- 113. Truccolo, W., Eden, U.T., Fellows, M.R., Donoghue, J.P. & Brown, E.N. A point process framework for relating neural spiking activity to spiking history, neural ensemble, and extrinsic covariate effects. J. Neurophysiol. **93**, 1074-1089 (2005).
- 114. Friedman, J., Hastie, T. & Tibshirani, R. Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear Models via Coordinate Descent. *J Stat Softw* **33**, 1-22 (2010).
- 115. Gold, J.I. & Shadlen, M.N. Banburismus and the brain: decoding the relationship between sensory stimuli, decisions, and reward. *Neuron* **36**, 299-308 (2002).
- 116. Wilber, A.A., Skelin, I., Wu, W. & McNaughton, B.L. Laminar Organization of Encoding and Memory Reactivation in the Parietal Cortex. *Neuron* **95**, 1406-1419 (2017).
- 117. Devroye, L. Non-Uniform Random Variate Generation (Springer New York, 2013).



Supplementary Fig. 1 | Behavioral performance of mice used for calcium imaging experiments

- (a) An example session with high task performance. The raster plot shows trial types (first row) and the correctness of choice (second row). The width of rectangles and gaps between them indicate the length of trials and the inter-trial intervals, respectively. The running mean of task performance and pace were calculated in the preceding 20 trials, excluding crutch trials (see Methods). See marker legend in panel (g).
- (b) Mean trial completion time for correct and error trials during the session in (a). Error bars indicate mean ± s.d. across trials. n = 179 correct / 16 error trials.
- (c) Similar to panel (a), except for an example session with moderate task performance.
- (d) Similar to panel (b), except for the session in (c). n = 163 correct / 44 error trials.
- (e) Task performance and pace averaged across sessions. The solid and dashed lines indicate mean ± s.d. n = 63 sessions from 6 mice.
- (f) Mean trial completion time for correct and error trials averaged across all sessions. Error bars indicate mean ± s.d. across sessions.
- (g) Marker legend for panels (a-f).
- (h) Choice bias for the color (choosing black vs white), direction (choosing right or left), and direction conditioned on the same sample cue (see Eq. 1-3 in Methods). Open circles show bias in individual sessions. Filled circles with error bars indicate median ± median absolute deviation. Filled triangles and diamonds indicate biases in the example sessions in panels (a-b) and (c-d), respectively. n = 63 sessions from 6 mice.
- (i) Choice (final turn direction) decoded from the mouse's running velocity in the test segment. Thin gray lines indicate individual sessions. Thick black line and shading indicates mean ± s.e.m. n = 63 sessions from 6 mice.
- (j) Similar to (i), plotted separately for correct and error trials. Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m.
- (k) Task performance across sessions (dots) and mice (panels) compared to the decoding of the sample cue from the mouse's running velocity at the end of the delay segment. Two mice were excluded due to small numbers of sessions (less than five sessions). r_s indicates the Spearman rank correlation coefficient.



Supplementary Fig. 2 | Photo-inhibition effects on spiking activity and the mouse's running

- (a) Electrophysiology trace from a putative excitatory cell in PPC of a VGAT-ChR2 mouse. Blue line indicates the photoinhibition (laser on).
- (b) Similar to panel (a), except for a putative inhibitory cell.
- (c) Spike waveforms for the example units shown in panels (a-b). The amplitudes were normalized to compare the spike width of a putative excitatory cell (black) and inhibitory cell (red). Thin lines indicate individual spikes. Thick lines indicate mean waveforms.
- (d) Normalized spike counts (first five seconds from laser onset) as a function of horizontal distance from the laser center during photoinhibition in PPC. Spike counts were normalized to the period just before laser onset. Error bars indicate mean ± s.e.m. across trials. n = 11.8 ± 6.2 trials (mean ± s.d.) per data point from one mouse.
- (e) Similar to panel (d) except for RSC.
- (f) Similar to panel (d) except for M2.
- (g) Normalized spike counts as a function of cortical depth in PPC. Spikes were recorded within 500 μm horizontal distance from the laser center. n = 13.6 ± 11.2 trials (mean ± s.d.) per data point from one mouse.
- (h) Similar to panel (g) except for RSC.
- (i) Similar to panel (g) except for M2.
- (j) Comparison of the mouse's forward running velocity in the sample segment on control trials and trials with inhibition in all segments. Each open circle indicates the average forward velocity of a single mouse on trials with a bilateral pair of inhibition sites (or three bilateral pairs of inhibition) and the average forward velocity on control trials. Data from each mouse are plotted vertically at the control-trial velocity unique to that mouse. Inhibition sites are grouped for different areas as shown in Figure 1I. Error bars within open circles indicate mean ± s.e.m. across trials. n = 39 inhibition groups from 11 mice for panels (j-l)
- (k) Similar to panel (j) except for the forward velocity in the delay segment.
- (I) Similar to panel (j) except for the forward velocity in the test segment.
- (m) Similar to panel (j) except for the forward velocity in trials with inhibition only in the sample segment. n = 23 inhibition groups from 7 mice for panels (m-o).
- (n) Similar to panel (k) except for the forward velocity in trials with inhibition only in the delay segment.
- (o) Similar to panel (k) except for the forward velocity in trials with inhibition only in the test segment.



Supplementary Fig. 3 | GLM with various levels of explanatory power for example cells

- (a) Observed neural activity and GLM fit for an example V1 cell. Left panels: observed neural activity plotted similarly to Figure 2e-j. Right panels: regularized GLM fits to the training data. Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m. The predictive power of the model was quantified by the fraction of deviance explained (FDE) indicated at the top.
- (b) Similar to panel (a) for an example MM cell.
- (c) Similar to panel (a) for an example MM cell in Figure 2g.
- (d) Similar to panel (a) for an example RSC cell in Figure 2i.
- (e) Similar to panel (a) for an example V1 cell with low activity and selectivity.
- (f) Similar to panel (a) for an example RSC cell with low activity and selectivity.





Supplementary Fig. 4 | Task-related information and its mixing in V1, RSC and MM

- (a) Task performance averaged across imaging sessions for each area. Error bars indicate mean \pm s.d. V1: n = 11 sessions, RSC: n = 12 sessions, MM: n = 7 sessions, A: n = 5 sessions, M1: n = 3 sessions, M2: n = 9 sessions were included in panels (a-b). Average task performance was not significantly different between two areas except for M1 and V1 (p = 0.0018) and M1 and RSC (p = 0.0011). The significance threshold was adjusted by Bonferroni correction with α = 0.05 to account for 15 between-area comparisons for panels (a-b).
- (b) Delay length averaged across imaging sessions for each area. Error bars indicate mean ± s.d. Average task performance was not significantly different between two areas except for A and V1 (p = 0.0010), A and M1 (p = 0.0001), and A and M2 (p<10⁻⁴).
- (c) Sample cue information in the sample segment and delay segment for individual cells (dots). Cells along the horizontal axis (0 degrees) had sample cue information only in the sample segment, and cells along the vertical axis (90 degrees) had sample cue information only in the delay segment.
- (d) Data from panel (c) replotted in polar coordinates as the magnitude (r) and angle (θ). The absence of many cells around 45 degrees indicates that few cells had sample cue information in both the sample and delay segments. Rather, different populations of neurons, especially in RSC, appeared to contain sample cue information in the different segments.

- (e) Distribution of cells from panel (d). Bins for $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = 90$ include cells with chance-level deviation from the axes (Methods). Error bars indicate mean ± s.e.m. The fractions of cells with noise-level information (magnitude r < 0.01) are not shown.
- (f) XOR information for cells across angles (running average, window of 50 cells), shown separately for V1, RSC, and MM. Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m.
- (g) Sparsity index for cells across angles (running average, window of 50 cells), shown separately for V1, RSC, and MM as black traces. Blue traces show chance sparsity index values computed with shuffled trial identities. Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m.
- (h) Similar to panel (g), except for the nonlinearity index.
- (i) XOR information versus the magnitude of the sample cue and test cue information in the first one second of the test segment for individual cells (circles). Only correct trials were included in the analysis. Cells with pure XOR selectivity have high XOR information but zero sample cue information and zero test cue information. For correct trials, a pure XOR selective cell or a pure choice selective cells might be active on both W/WB and B/BW trial types. Such a cell is thus active on trials with both sample cues and both test cues and thus lacks sample cue and test cue information.
- (j) Cumulative fraction of cells as a function of the magnitude of a cell's sample cue and test cue information. Pure XOR selective cells were defined to have XOR information greater than logLR of 0.01 and the magnitude of sample cue and test cue information less than the chance level (logLR of 0.017 in V1, 0.007 in RSC, 0.005 in MM, see Methods). Cells were excluded from the analysis if they had XOR information less than logLR of 0.01. The fraction of pure XOR selective cells was 7.7 ± 1.6% in V1, 16.0 ± 1.1% in RSC, and 13.2 ± 1.8% in MM (mean ± s.e.m.).
- (k) Similar to panel (c), except for XOR information in the first one second of the test segment versus the maximum sample cue information in the sample or test segment. Cells in the first quadrant (0° < 0 < 90°) or on the vertical axis (90°) had XOR information in the test segment with or without having sample cue information in the sample or delay segment.</p>
- (I) Similar to panel (e), except for summarizing the data in panel (k).



Supplementary Fig. 5 | XOR information in populations of mixed selectivity or pure selectivity cells, controlling for the population size

- (a) Similar to Fig. 5b, except for a population size of 100 cells. Mutual information between true and decoded XOR in populations of mixed selectivity cells ($15 < 0 < 75^\circ$ in Fig. 4h, correct trials) and pure selectivity cells ($\theta = 0^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$ in Fig. 4i, correct trials) is shown. From the entire population imaged during each session, 100 cells were randomly sampled without replacement. The analyses included sessions with more than 100 detected cells. V1: n = 8 sessions (1783 trials), RSC: n = 12 sessions (1685 trials), MM: n = 7 sessions (1230 trials), A: n = 5 sessions (822 trials). Error bars indicate mean ± s.e.m. across trials. Mutual information in mixed selectivity cells was significantly greater than that in pure selectivity cells in V1 (p=0.0022), RSC (p < 10⁻⁴), MM (p=0.0002), but not in A (p = 0.10).
- (b) Similar to Fig. 5c, except for a population size of 100 cells. Number of cells classified as mixed selective or pure selective (T = test cue selective; S = sample cue selective) per session is shown. Error bars indicate mean ± s.e.m. The number of cells was significantly larger for pure selective cells than for mixed selective cells across areas in V1 (p < 10⁻⁴), MM (p = 0.023), and A (p = 0.027), but not in RSC (p = 0.93).
- (c) Similar to Fig. 5d, except for a population of 100 cells. Mutual information between true and decoded XOR on correct and error trials is shown. Error bars indicate mean \pm s.e.m. V1: n = 1062 correct / 272 error trials, RSC: n = 1420 correct / 265 error trials, MM: n = 1009 correct / 221 error trials, A: n = 753 correct / 69 error trials. For mixed selectivity cell population, the difference between correct and error was significantly different from zero in all four areas (V1: p < 10⁻⁴, RSC: p < 10⁻⁴, MM: p = 0.0008, A = 0.028). For pure selectivity cell population, the difference between correct and error was significantly different from zero in A (p = 0.028), but not in V1 (p = 0.60), RSC (p = 0.87), and MM (p = 0.35). The difference between correct and error in mixed selective cells was significantly larger than that for pure selective cells in V1 (p < 10⁻⁴), RSC (p < 10⁻⁴), and MM (p=0.0010), but not in A (p = 0.82). The difference between correct and error in mixed selective cells was significantly larger than that for pure selective cells in V1 (p < 10⁻⁴), RSC (p < 10⁻⁴), and MM (p = 0.021) or A (p < 10⁻⁴), but the difference was not significant between RSC and V1 (p = 0.22).



Supplementary Fig. 6 | Noise correlations in populations of mixed selectivity or pure selectivity cells

- (a) Signal and noise correlations in neural activity of mixed selectivity cells ($15^{\circ} < \theta < 75^{\circ}$ in Fig. 4h, correct trials) at the begging of the test segment (first 1 s). Gray dots show Pearson's correlation coefficients for signal (mean activity for each of four trial type) and noise (residual activity around the mean). Black traces show the running mean ± s.e.m. (window size = 100 pairs). n = 1020 pairs in V1, 6807 pairs in RSC, 1177 pairs in MM.
- (b) Similar to panel (a), except with pure sample cue selective cells ($\theta = 0^{\circ}$ in Fig. 4i, correct trials). n = 202 pairs in V1, 1797 pairs in RSC, 182 pairs in MM.
- (c) Similar to panel (a), except with pure test cue selective cells (θ = 90° in Fig. 4i, correct trials). n = 3362 pairs in V1, 1334 pairs in RSC, 958 pairs in MM.
- (d) Decoding accuracy of XOR in the original data and data shuffled to disrupt noise correlations. Noise correlations were disrupted by shuffling trial identity within each trial type for each cell. Error bars indicate mean ± s.e.m. The difference in decoding accuracy between the mixed selectivity and pure selectivity population was not significantly different between the original data and trial-shuffled data in all four areas (V1: p = 0.66, RSC: p = 0.22, MM: p = 0.40, A: p = 0.43).



Supplementary Fig. 7 | Decoding accuracy from simulated mixed and pure selectivity populations

- (a) Schematic of simulated population. The number of spikes generated by each cell follows a Poisson distribution with the mean λ . Each cell responds to a preferred cue (or a preferred combination of cues) with the mean of λ_{pref} and to an unpreferred cue with the mean of λ_{unpref} . The minimum unit population size is a set of four cells, each of which preferentially responds to a specific trial type in the mixed selectivity population, or to a specific sample cue or test cue identity in the pure selectivity population. The population size increases by including N sets of the unit population with the same selectivity pattern.
- (b) Decoding accuracy for the sample cue (or test cue) in simulated population activity under a various combination of the mean activity (λ_{pref} and λ_{unpref}). The SNR in the population increases with higher λ_{pref} and lower λ_{unpref} under Poisson noise. The population activity was simulated on 10,000 trials and repeated 10,000 times, separately in mixed selectivity population (solid lines) and pure selectivity population (dashed lines). Shading indicates mean ± s.e.m. and is equal or smaller than the line widths.
- (c) Similar to panel (b), but under various combinations of the population size and noise correlation magnitudes. The SNR in the population increases with a larger population size and lower noise correlation.
- (d) Similar to panel (b), but with the decoding accuracy for the reward direction (XOR). For a pure selectivity population, the decoding accuracy of XOR (p_{XOR} , dashed line in panels d, e) can be predicted by decoding accuracy for the sample cue (or test cue) (p, dashed line in panels b, c). Open circles show \hat{p}_{XOR} predicted by $p^2 + (1-p)^2$: the sum of probabilities that both sample and test cues decoded correctly (p^2) or incorrectly $(1-p)^2$. See Supplementary Fig. 8 for reasoning.
- (e) Similar to panel (c), but with the decoding accuracy for the reward direction (XOR).
- (f) Similar to panel (b), but with XOR mutual information divided by the total number of expected spikes in a population.
- (g) Similar to panel (c), but with XOR mutual information divided by the total number of expected spikes in a population.



Supplementary Fig. 8 | Mathematical interpretation of the decoding accuracy from simulated mixed and pure selectivity populations

- (a) Decoding accuracy for each task-related variable in mixed vs pure selectivity populations, shown similarly to Figure 5a.
- (b) For a population of mixed selectivity cells, XOR can be linearly decoded by a single decision. The decoding accuracy is equal for each task-related variable (p_{mv}) because each cell is equally informative for the sample cue, test cue, and reward direction (Supplementary Fig. 7a).
- (c) For a population of pure selective cells, XOR can be decoded by dual decisions about the sample cue and test cue, as illustrated by the two decision boundaries for the sample cue and test cue (dashed lines). When the sample cue information and test cue information are plotted together in a 2D space, these two boundaries form nonlinear decision boundaries that separate right vs left (green vs red) decoding for the reward direction (XOR). When the decoding accuracy is equal for the sample cue or the test cue (p_{pure}), the decoding accuracy of XOR is given by $p_{pure}^2 + (1 p_{pure})^2$, the sum of probability that both cues are decoded correctly, p_{pure}^2 , or incorrectly, $(1 p_{pure})^2$ (due to the task design). The decoding accuracy for XOR is thus lower than that for the sample cue or test cue. See also Supplementary Figure 7.



Supplementary Fig. 9 | Virtual reality maze for behavioral training

- (a) Linear track in Phase 1. The maze was lengthened based on the behavioral performance (Methods).
- (b) Single-tower maze in Phase 2. The T-stem was either black or white and T-arms were BW or WB, resembling the sample cue and test cue, respectively. The rewarded turn direction was determined by the combination of the T-stem color and T-arm color, but also indicated by the location of a single tower.
 (c) Two-tower maze in Phase 3. The rewarded turn direction was determined by the combination of the T-stem color and T-arm color. Two-tower trials were
- (c) Two-tower maze in Phase 3. The rewarded turn direction was determined by the combination of the T-stem color and T-arm color. Two-tower trials were interleaved with single-tower trials. The fraction of single-tower trials varied based on the behavioral performance (Methods).
- (d) Delayed match-to-sample maze. Red triangle indicates the mouse position in the maze. The test cue configuration, BW or WB, was revealed when the mouse reached a point 240 cm away from the maze start.
- (e) Two-tower maze used in "crutch trials", which are randomly selected 10% of trials in Phase 4 to aid the performance of mice. The maze structure was similar to (c), except for the longer T-stem.